1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS		
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON		
3			
4	PRISCILLA DECKER,		
5	Petitioner,		
6			
7	VS.		
8			
9	WASHINGTON COUNTY,		
10	Respondent.		
11			
12	LUBA No. 2002-057		
13			
14	FINAL OPINION		
15	AND ORDER		
16			
17	Appeal from Washington County.		
18			
19	John M. Junkin, Portland, represented petitioner.		
20			
21	Alan A. Rappleyea, Hillsboro, represented respondent.		
22			
23	BRIGGS, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member,		
24	participated in the decision.		
25			
26	DISMISSED 10/09/2002		
27			
28	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
29	provisions of ORS 197.850.		

\sim · ·	1	D .
Opinion	hv	Briggs.
0 0	~ ,	

2	Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, Washington County
3	withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on June 14, 2002. On
4	September 10, 2002, the Board received the county's decision on reconsideration. Pursuant
5	to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioner had until October 1, 2002 to either refile the original
6	notice of intent to appeal in this matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The
7	Board has not received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of
8	intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
9	OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to appeal is filed
10	or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in [OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)],
11	the appeal will be dismissed." Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed. <i>Matrix Development v</i> .
12	City of Tigard, 25 Or LUBA 557 (1993).

1