1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	SPRINT PCS,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	vs.
8	
9	CITY OF TUALATIN,
10	Respondent,
11	
12	and
13	
14	PETER LeBRAY,
15	Intervenor-Respondent.
16	
17	LUBA No. 2001-141
18	EDIAL OPPINON
19	FINAL OPINION
20	AND ORDER
21	
22 23	Appeal from City of Tualatin.
23 24	Phillip E. Cuille Doutland names anted natition on
24 25	Phillip E. Grillo, Portland, represented petitioner.
26	Brenda L. Braden, City Attorney, Tualatin, represented respondent.
27	Brenda L. Braden, City Attorney, Tuaratin, represented respondent.
28	Peter LeBray, Tualatin, represented himself.
29	reter Lebray, Tuaratin, represented infiserr.
30	BRIGGS, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member,
31	participated in the decision.
32	participated in the decision.
33	DISMISSED 12/31/2002
34	
35	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
36	provisions of ORS 197.850.
37	

1	Opinion by Briggs.
2	The notice of intent to appeal in this matter was filed on August 23, 2001. On
3	September 4, 2001, petitioner and respondent filed a stipulated motion to extend the time to
4	file the record for an indefinite period. On September 13, 2001, Peter LeBray moved to
5	intervene on the side of respondent. No party opposes the motion to intervene and it is
6	allowed.
7	On November 21, 2002, the Board mailed a letter to the parties, inquiring into the
8	status of the appeal, and informing the parties that if no response was received within 14
9	days of the date of the letter, the Board would dismiss the appeal.
10	No response has been received by either party within the time set in our November

21, 2002 letter. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed.

11