

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3
4 CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,
5 *Petitioner,*

6
7 vs.

8
9 CITY OF SALEM,
10 *Respondent.*

11
12 LUBA No. 2001-172

13
14 FINAL OPINION
15 AND ORDER

16
17 Appeal from City of Salem.

18
19 Gregory S. Hathaway, Portland, represented petitioner.

20
21 Stephanie A. Smith, City Attorney, Salem, represented respondent.

22
23 BRIGGS, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member,
24 participated in the decision.

25
26 DISMISSED

12/31/2002

27
28 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
29 provisions of ORS 197.850.
30

Opinion by Briggs.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

The notice of intent to appeal in this matter was filed on November 5, 2001. On November 16, 2001, the parties filed a stipulated motion to extend the time to file the record for an indefinite period. On November 21, 2002, the Board mailed a letter to the parties, inquiring into the status of the appeal, and informing the parties that if no response was received within 14 days of the date of the letter, the Board would dismiss the appeal.

No response has been received by either party within the time period set out in the Board's November 21, 2002 letter. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed.