1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	H. NAITO CORP.,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CITY OF PORTLAND,
10	Respondent,
11	
12	and
13	
14	PORTLAND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION,
15	Intervenor-Respondent.
16	**************************************
17	LUBA No. 2004-134
18	EDIAL ODDION
19	FINAL OPINION
20	AND ORDER
21 22	Appeal from City of Portland.
23	Appear from City of Fortiand.
23 24	Ty K. Wyman, Portland, represented petitioner.
2 4 25	Ty K. Wyman, Tortiand, represented petitioner.
26	Linly F. Rees, Deputy City Attorney, Portland, represented respondent.
27	Emily 1. Rees, Deputy City 1 thorney, 1 orthand, represented respondent.
28	David F. Doughman, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent.
29	Buvia 1. Bougiman, 1 ordana, represented intervenor respondenti
30	BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; DAVIES, Board Member,
31	participated in the decision.
32	
33	DISMISSED 01/25/2005
34	
35	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
36	provisions of ORS 197.850.

Bassham, Board Member.

2 MOTION TO INTERVENE

- 3 Portland Development Commission, the applicant below, moves to intervene on the
- 4 side of respondent. There is no opposition, and it is allowed.

5 MOTION TO DISMISS

- 6 Petitioner requests that this appeal be dismissed. Accordingly, this appeal is
- 7 dismissed.

1