2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON 3 4 A. DAVID RODRIGUEZ, 5 Petitioner, 6 7 vs. 8 9 CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, 10 Respondent, 11 12 and	
 A. DAVID RODRIGUEZ, <i>Petitioner</i>, vs. CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, <i>Respondent</i>, 	
 A. DAVID RODRIGUEZ, <i>Petitioner</i>, vs. CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, <i>Respondent</i>, 	
5 Petitioner, 6 7 vs. 8 9 CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, 10 Respondent, 11	
6 7 vs. 8 9 CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, 10 <i>Respondent,</i> 11	
 7 vs. 8 9 CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, 10 <i>Respondent</i>, 11 	
9 CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, 10 <i>Respondent,</i> 11	
10Respondent,11	
11	
12 and	
13	
14 PEACEHEALTH	
15 Intervenor-Respondent.	
16	
17 LUBA No. 2005-059	
18	
19 FINAL OPINION	
20 AND ORDER	
21	
22 Appeal from Springfield.	
23	
A. David Rodriguez, Springfield, represented himself.	
25	
26 Meg Kieran, Springfield, represented respondent.	
28 Steven P. Hultberg, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent.	
29 20 DASSHAM Board Marshar HOLSTIN, Board Chair, DAVIES, Board Marsh	
30 BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; DAVIES, Board Memb	er,
31 participated in the decision.32	
32 33 DISMISSED 04/27/2005	
35 DISIMISSED 04/27/2005 34	
35 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by t	he
36 provisions of ORS 197.850.	ne

1	Bassham, Board Member.
2	Petitioner requests that this appeal be dismissed. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed.
3	The Board will return petitioner's \$150 deposit for costs.