2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON 3 SLEGERS, INC., ARIE SLEGERS, 5 PATTY SLEGERS, GEOFFREY L. DORSEY, 6 ROBERT B. DORSEY, SAM SWEENEY, 7 PIEPER SWEENEY and TOM SWEENEY, 8 Petitioner, 9 Vs. 10 vs. 11 Respondent, 12 YAMHILL COUNTY, 13 Respondent, 14 . 15 and 16 . 17 C.C. MEISEL 18 Intervenor-Respondent. 19 LUBA No. 2004-147 21 . 22 FINAL OPINION 23 Appeal from Yamhill County. 24 . 25 Appeal from Yamhill County. 26 . 27 Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. 28 . 29 Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. 30 . 31 Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. 32 . 33 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. 34 . 35 DISMISSED </th <th>1</th> <th>BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS</th>	1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
4 SLEGERS, INC., ARIE SLEGERS, 5 PATTY SLEGERS, GEOFFREY L. DORSEY, 6 ROBERT B. DORSEY, SAM SWEENEY, 7 PIEPER SWEENEY and TOM SWEENEY, 8 Petitioner, 9 Vs. 10 vs. 11 Respondent, 12 YAMHILL COUNTY, 13 Respondent, 14 . 15 and 16 . 17 C.C. MEISEL 18 Intervenor-Respondent. 19 . 20 LUBA No. 2004-147 21 . 22 FINAL OPINION 23 Appeal from Yamhill County. 24 . 25 Appeal from Yamhill County. 26 . 27 Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. 28 . 31 Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. 32 . 33 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. 34 DISMI	2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
5 PATTY SLEGERS, GEOFFREY L. DORSEY, 6 ROBERT B. DORSEY, SAM SWEENEY, 7 PIEPER SWEENEY and TOM SWEENEY, 8 Petitioner, 9 vs. 10 vs. 11 YAMHILL COUNTY, 13 Respondent, 14 and 15 and 16		
6 ROBERT B. DORSEY, SAM SWEENEY, 7 PIEPER SWEENEY and TOM SWEENEY, 8 Petitioner, 9 Vs. 10 vs. 11 YAMHILL COUNTY, 12 YAMHILL COUNTY, 13 Respondent, 14 and 15 and 16	4	SLEGERS, INC., ARIE SLEGERS,
7 PIEPER SWEENEY and TOM SWEENEY, 8 Petitioner, 9 vs. 10 vs. 12 YAMHILL COUNTY, 13 Respondent, 14 and 15 and 16		
7 PIEPER SWEENEY and TOM SWEENEY, 8 Petitioner, 9 vs. 10 vs. 12 YAMHILL COUNTY, 13 Respondent, 14 and 15 and 16	6	ROBERT B. DORSEY, SAM SWEENEY,
9 vs. 11 YAMHILL COUNTY, 12 YAMHILL COUNTY, 13 Respondent, 14 and 15 and 16 17 C.C. MEISEL 18 Intervenor-Respondent. 9 20 LUBA No. 2004-147 21 22 FINAL OPINION 23 Appeal from Yamhill County. 24 25 Appeal from Yamhill County. 26 27 Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. 28 29 Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. 31 Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. 32 33 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. 35 36 DISMISSED 37 Og/06/2005 38 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the	7	
10 vs. 11 YAMHILL COUNTY, 12 YAMHILL COUNTY, 13 Respondent, 14 and 15 and 16	8	Petitioner,
11 YAMHILL COUNTY, 13 Respondent, 14 and 15 and 16	9	
12 YAMHILL COUNTY, 13 Respondent, 14 and 15 and 16 Intervenor-Respondent. 17 C.C. MEISEL 18 Intervenor-Respondent. 20 LUBA No. 2004-147 21 FINAL OPINION 23 AND ORDER 24 Sappeal from Yamhill County. 25 Appeal from Yamhill County. 26 Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County. 27 Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. 28 Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. 30 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, 31 Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. 32 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, 34 participated in the decision. 35 09/06/2005 36 DISMISSED 38 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the	10	VS.
13 Respondent, 14 and 15 and 16 C.C. MEISEL 17 C.C. MEISEL 18 Intervenor-Respondent. 19 UUBA No. 2004-147 20 LUBA No. 2004-147 21 FINAL OPINION 23 Appeal from Yamhill County. 24 Ocarrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. 25 Appeal from Yamhill County. 26 Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. 29 Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. 30 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. 35 DISMISSED 09/06/2005 36 DISMISSED 09/06/2005 37 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the	11	
14 and 15 and 16 C.C. MEISEL 17 C.C. MEISEL 18 Intervenor-Respondent. 19 1 20 LUBA No. 2004-147 21 FINAL OPINION 22 FINAL OPINION 23 AND ORDER 24	12	YAMHILL COUNTY,
15 and 16 C.C. MEISEL 17 C.C. MEISEL 18 Intervenor-Respondent. 19 1 20 LUBA No. 2004-147 21 FINAL OPINION 22 FINAL OPINION 23 Appeal from Yamhill County. 24 1 25 Appeal from Yamhill County. 26 6 27 Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. 28 1 29 Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. 30 1 31 Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. 32 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. 33 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. 35 DISMISSED 09/06/2005 36 DISMISSED 09/06/2005 37 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the	13	Respondent,
16 C.C. MEISEL 17 C.C. MEISEL 18 Intervenor-Respondent. 19 1 20 LUBA No. 2004-147 21 FINAL OPINION 23 AND ORDER 24 2 25 Appeal from Yamhill County. 26 Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. 29 Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. 30 Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. 31 Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. 33 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. 35 DISMISSED 09/06/2005 36 DISMISSED 09/06/2005 38 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the	14	
17 C.C. MEISEL 18 Intervenor-Respondent. 19 1 20 LUBA No. 2004-147 21 FINAL OPINION 23 AND ORDER 24 2 25 Appeal from Yamhill County. 26 2 27 Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. 28 29 29 Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. 30 1 31 Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. 32 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. 35 DISMISSED 09/06/2005 36 DISMISSED 09/06/2005 38 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the	15	and
18 Intervenor-Respondent. 19 LUBA No. 2004-147 20 LUBA No. 2004-147 21 FINAL OPINION 23 AND ORDER 24 Appeal from Yamhill County. 25 Appeal from Yamhill County. 26 Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. 29 Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. 30 1 31 Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. 32 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. 35 DISMISSED 09/06/2005 36 DISMISSED 09/06/2005 38 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
19 LUBA No. 2004-147 20 LUBA No. 2004-147 21 FINAL OPINION 22 FINAL OPINION 23 AND ORDER 24		
20 LUBA No. 2004-147 21 FINAL OPINION 22 FINAL OPINION 23 AND ORDER 24		Intervenor-Respondent.
21 FINAL OPINION 23 AND ORDER 24		
 FINAL OPINION AND ORDER Appeal from Yamhill County. Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. DISMISSED 09/06/2005 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the 		LUBA No. 2004-147
AND ORDER Appeal from Yamhill County. Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. DISMISSED 09/06/2005 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
 Appeal from Yamhill County. Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. DISMISSED 09/06/2005 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the 		
 Appeal from Yamhill County. Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. DISMISSED 09/06/2005 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the 		AND ORDER
 Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. DISMISSED 09/06/2005 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the 		
 Carrie A. Richter, Portland, represented petitioner. Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. DISMISSED 09/06/2005 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the 		Appeal from Yamnill County.
 Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. DISMISSED 09/06/2005 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the 		Comic A. Dichton Doutlond nonnecented notifican
 Frederick Sanai, Yamhill County Counsel, McMinnville, represented respondent. Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. DISMISSED 09/06/2005 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the 		Carrie A. Kichler, Portland, represented peutioner.
 30 31 Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. 32 33 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, 34 participated in the decision. 35 36 DISMISSED 09/06/2005 37 38 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the 		Frederick Sanai Vambill County Counsel McMinnville, represented respondent
 Paul Hribernick, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. DISMISSED 09/06/2005 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the 		rederick Sanar, Taminin County Counser, McMininVine, represented respondent.
 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. DISMISSED 09/06/2005 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the 		Paul Hribernick Portland represented intervenor respondent
 BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision. DISMISSED 09/06/2005 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the 		r au finochnek, fortand, represented intervenor-respondent.
 participated in the decision. DISMISSED 09/06/2005 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the 		BASSHAM Board Member: DAVIES Board Chair: HOI STUN Board Member
353536DISMISSED3709/06/200538You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
36DISMISSED09/06/20053709/06/200538You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
3738 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		DISMISSED 09/06/2005
38 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
		You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the

1	Bassham, Board Member.
2	Petitioners request that this appeal be dismissed. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed.
3	The Board will return petitioners' \$150 deposit for costs.