1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	LINDA ANDERSON,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	COOS COUNTY,
10	Respondent,
11	
12	and
13	
14	MICHAEL RUPE,
15	Intervenor-Respondent.
16	·
17	LUBA No. 2005-111
18	
19	FINAL OPINION
20	AND ORDER
21	
22	Appeal from Coos County.
23	·
24	Linda Anderson, Coos Bay, represented herself.
25	
26	David R. Koch, County Counsel, Coquille, represented respondent.
27	
28	E. Michael Connors, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent.
29	
30	BASSHAM, Board Member; DAVIES, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member,
31	participated in the decision.
32	
33	DISMISSED 02/14/2006
34	
35	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
36	provisions of ORS 197.850.
	-

1	Opinion by Bassham.
2	Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, Coos County withdrew the
3	decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on August 12, 2005. On November 30
4	2005, the Board received Coos County's decision on reconsideration. Pursuant to
5	OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioner had until December 21, 2005 to either refile the original
6	notice of intent to appeal in this matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The
7	Board has not received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice or
8	intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
9	OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to appeal is filed
10	or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in [OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)]
11	the appeal will be dismissed."
12	This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or LUBA 557

13

(1993).