1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	EARL CROUCHER,
5	Petitioner,
6	T etitioner,
7	vs.
8	15.
9	CITY OF COOS BAY,
10	Respondent,
11	Кезрониет,
12	and
12	and
13	VERIZON WIRELESS,
14	Intervenor-Respondent.
16	mervenor-Kespondeni.
17	LUBA No. 2006-093
18	LODA NO. 2000-075
19	FINAL OPINION
20	AND ORDER
20 21	AND ORDER
$\frac{21}{22}$	Appeal from City of Coos Bay.
22	Appear from City of Coos Bay.
23 24	Patrick M. Terry, North Bend, represented petitioner.
24 25	Faulek M. Terry, North Bend, represented petitioner.
23 26	Nathan B. McClintock, Coos Bay, represented respondent.
20 27	Nathan B. McChintock, Coos Bay, represented respondent.
27	Christopher P. Koback, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent.
28 29	Christopher F. Koback, Fortland, represented intervenor-respondent.
29 30	BASSHAM, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.
30 31	DASSITANI, DUALU CHAII, HOLSTUN, DUALU MEHIDEI, PALUCIPALEU III LIE DECISIOII.
31 32	DISMISSED 08/15/2006
32 33	DISIMISSED 00/15/2000
33 34	You are aptitled to judicial ravious of this Order Indicial review is accorded by the
34 25	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the

35 provisions of ORS 197.850.

1	Opinion by Bassham.
2	The petition for review in the appeal was due July 6, 2006. The petition for review
3	has not been filed, nor has an extension of time to file the petition for review been granted.
4	ORS 197.830(11) requires that a petition for review be filed within the deadlines
5	established by Board rule. OAR 661-010-0030(1) provides, in relevant part:
6 7 8 9 10	"* * The petition for review together with four copies shall be filed with the Board within 21 days after the date the record is received or settled by the Board. * * Failure to file a petition for review within the time required by this section, and any extensions of that time under * * * OAR 661-010- 0067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal * * *."
11	OAR 661-010-0067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the petition for review may be
12	extended only by written consent of all the parties.
13	The deadline for filing the petition for review is strictly enforced. Terrace Lakes
14	Homeowners Assoc. v. City of Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, 535, aff'd 138 Or App 188, 906 P2d
15	871 (1995); Hutmacher v. Marion County, 15 Or LUBA 514, 515 (1987).
16	Because a petition for review was not filed within the time required by our rules, and
17	petitioner did not obtain written consent to extend the time for filing the petition for review
18	under OAR-661-010-0067(2) beyond July 6, 2006, ORS 197.830(11) and OAR
19	661-010-0030(1) require that we dismiss this appeal.
20	This appeal is dismissed.