1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS	
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON	
3	**************************************	
4	JAMES M. ALTHAUSER,	
5	Petitioner,	
6		
7	VS.	
8 9		
	CLACKAMAS COUNTY,	
10 11	Respondent.	
12	LUBA No. 2008-007	
13	LUBA No. 2006-007	
14	FINAL OPINION	
15	AND ORDER	
16	AND ORDER	
17	Appeal from Clackamas County.	
18	rippedi from Cidektanias County.	
19	James M. Althauser, Estacada, represented himself.	
20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
21	Michael E. Judd, Oregon City, represented respondent.	
22		
23	HOLSTUN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; RYAN, Board Member,	
24	participated in the decision.	
25		
26	DISMISSED 01/24/2008	
27		
28	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the	
29	provisions of ORS 197.850.	

1	Opinion by Holstun.
---	---------------------

In an Order dated January 8, 2008, LUBA directed petitioner to "immediately serve a
copy of the notice of intent to appeal on the county's legal counsel, if petitioner has not done
so. We also directed petitioner to file and serve a Supplemental Certificate of Service "to
certify that the county's legal counsel was served with a copy of the notice of intent to
appeal." The last sentence of that order provided "[i]f LUBA does not receive a
Supplemental Certificate of Service in accordance with this paragraph on or before January
15, 2008, this appeal will be dismissed."

It is now January 24, 2008 and LUBA has not received a Supplemental Certificate of Service or any communication from petitioner.

In accordance with our January 8, 2008 Order, this appeal is dismissed. Assuming the county does not file a record and does not file a cost bill, LUBA will return petitioner's \$150 deposit for costs.