1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2 3	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3 4	TRACY PALAORO and TINA PALAORO,
5	Petitioners,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CLACKAMAS COUNTY,
10	Respondent.
11	·
12	LUBA No. 2008-006
13	
14	FINAL OPINION
15	AND ORDER
16	
17	Appeal from Clackamas County.
18	
19	Tina Palaoro, Tracy Palaoro, Milwaukie, represented themselves.
20	
21	Michael E. Judd, Oregon City, represented respondent.
22	HOLOGODIN D. LOL' DAGGHAM D. LM. L. DWAN D. LM. L
23	HOLSTUN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; RYAN, Board Member,
24	participated in the decision.
25	DICMICCED 04/14/2000
26	DISMISSED 04/14/2008
27 28	Vou are antitled to judicial review of this Order Judicial review is governed by the
28 29	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.
<b>4</b> 7	PIOVISIONS OF ORD 171.000.

1	Opinion by Holstun.
2	The petition for review in the appeal was due February 26, 2008. The petition for
3	review has not been filed, nor has an extension of time to file the petition for review been
4	granted.
5	ORS 197.830(11) requires that a petition for review be filed within the deadlines
6	established by Board rule. OAR 661-010-0030(1) provides, in relevant part:
7 8 9 10	"* * The petition for review together with four copies shall be filed with the Board within 21 days after the date the record is received or settled by the Board. * * * Failure to file a petition for review within the time required by this section, and any extensions of that time under * * * OAR 661-010-0067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal * * *."
12	OAR 661-010-0067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the petition for review may be
13	extended only by written consent of all the parties.
14	The deadline for filing the petition for review is strictly enforced. Terrace Lake.
15	Homeowners Assoc. v. City of Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, 535, aff'd 138 Or App 188, 906 P2c
16	871 (1995); Hutmacher v. Marion County, 15 Or LUBA 514, 515 (1987).
17	Because a petition for review was not filed within the time required by our rules, and
18	petitioners did not obtain written consent to extend the time for filing the petition for review
19	under OAR-661-010-0067(2), ORS 197.830(11) and OAR 661-010-0030(1) require that we
20	dismiss this appeal.
21	This appeal is dismissed.