2       OF THE STATE OF OREGON         3       JIM BAUERSFELD, STEVE MYRIN         5       and CHARLOTTA COFFER,         6       Petitioners,         7       VS.         9       VS.         9       CROOK COUNTY,         11       Respondent,         12       and         14       Intervenor-Respondents.         15       MARK COPELAND and         16       GEORGETTE COPELAND,         17       Intervenor-Respondents.         18       Intervenor-Respondents.         19       LUBA No. 2005-115         20       PINAL OPINION         21       FINAL OPINION         22       Appeal from Crook County.         23       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         Myrin.       Mark Copeland, Bend, represented respondent.         23       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         33       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         44       RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.         34       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         35       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         <	1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
3       JIM BAUERSFELD, STEVE MYRIN         5       and CHARLOTTA COFFER,         6       Petitioners,         7       VS.         9       CROOK COUNTY,         11       Respondent,         12       and         14       and         15       MARK COPELAND and         16       GEORGETTE COPELAND,         17       Intervenor-Respondents.         19       LUBA No. 2005-115         21       FINAL OPINION         22       AND ORDER         23       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         Myrin.       Yrin.         29       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         24       Appeal from Crook County.         25       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         31       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         32       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         33       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         34       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         34       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         34       Mark copeland, chair; BASSHAM, Boar	2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
4       JIM BAUERSFELD, STEVE MYRIN         5       and CHARLOTTA COFFER,         6       Petitioners,         7       Vs.         9       Vs.         9       CROOK COUNTY,         11       Respondent,         12       and         14		
5       and CHARLOTTA COFFER,         6       Petitioners,         7       vs.         9		JIM BAUERSFELD, STEVE MYRIN
6       Petitioners,         7       vs.         9       CROOK COUNTY,         10       CROOK COUNTY,         11       Respondent,         12       and         13       and         14       Image: Constant of the second o		
7       vs.         9       CROOK COUNTY,         11       Respondent,         12       and         13       and         14		
8       vs.         9       CROOK COUNTY, Respondent,         11       and         12       and         13       and         14       Image: Correct Co		
9       CROOK COUNTY,         11       Respondent,         12       and         13       and         14       Image: Copeland and and and and and and and and and		VS
10       CROOK COUNTY, Respondent,         12       and         13       and         14		
11       Respondent,         12       and         13       and         14		CROOK COUNTY.
12       and         13       and         14       MARK COPELAND and         15       MARK COPELAND,         17       Intervenor-Respondents.         18       Intervenor-Respondents.         19       LUBA No. 2005-115         20       FINAL OPINION         21       FINAL OPINION         22       Appeal from Crook County.         23       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         Myrin.       Myrin.         29       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         31       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         32       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         34       RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.         37       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         40       You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
13       and         14       MARK COPELAND and         15       MARK COPELAND,         16       GEORGETTE COPELAND,         17       Intervenor-Respondents.         18       Intervenor-Respondents.         19       LUBA No. 2005-115         20       FINAL OPINION         21       FINAL OPINION         22       ADD ORDER         23       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         Myrin.       Yourana E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         23       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         24       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         23       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         34       BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.         37       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         40       You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		respondent,
14       MARK COPELAND and         15       GEORGETTE COPELAND,         17       Intervenor-Respondents.         18       LUBA No. 2005-115         20       FINAL OPINION         21       FINAL OPINION         22       ADPeal from Crook County.         23       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         24       Appeal from Crook County.         25       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         29       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         30       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         31       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         32       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         34       RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.         37       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         38       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         40       You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		and
15       MARK COPELAND and         16       GEORGETTE COPELAND,         17       Intervenor-Respondents.         18       IUBA No. 2005-115         19       LUBA No. 2005-115         20       FINAL OPINION         21       FINAL OPINION         22       Appeal from Crook County.         23       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         Myrin.       Mark Copeland, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         29       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented respondent.         23       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         23       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         34       RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.         37       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         39       Vou are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
16       GEORGETTE COPELAND,         17       Intervenor-Respondents.         18       LUBA No. 2005-115         20       FINAL OPINION         21       FINAL OPINION         22       ADD ORDER         23       Ferrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         Myrin.       Yes         29       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         30       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         31       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         33       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         34       RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.         37       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         40       You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		MARK COPELAND and
17       Intervenor-Respondents.         18       LUBA No. 2005-115         20       FINAL OPINION         21       FINAL OPINION         22       AND ORDER         23       Appeal from Crook County.         24       Appeal from Crook County.         25       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         29       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         30       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         31       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         32       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         34       RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.         37       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         39       Vou are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
<ul> <li>LUBA No. 2005-115</li> <li>LUBA No. 2005-115</li> <li>FINAL OPINION AND ORDER</li> <li>Appeal from Crook County.</li> <li>Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve Myrin.</li> <li>Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.</li> <li>David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.</li> <li>Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.</li> <li>RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		
19       LUBA No. 2005-115         20       FINAL OPINION         21       FINAL OPINION         22       AND ORDER         23       Appeal from Crook County.         25       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         29       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         30       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         31       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         33       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         34       RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.         37       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         39       You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
20       FINAL OPINION         21       FINAL OPINION         22       AND ORDER         23       Ferrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         27       Myrin.         28       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         29       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         30       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         31       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         32       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         34       RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.         37       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         39       You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		LUBA No. 2005-115
21       FINAL OPINION         22       AND ORDER         23       Appeal from Crook County.         26       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         27       Myrin.         28       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         30       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         31       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         33       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         34       RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.         37       DISMISSED         40       You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
22       AND ORDER         23       Appeal from Crook County.         25       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         26       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         29       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         30       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         31       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         33       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         34       RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.         37       DISMISSED         40       You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		FINAL OPINION
<ul> <li>Appeal from Crook County.</li> <li>Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve Myrin.</li> <li>Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.</li> <li>David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.</li> <li>Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.</li> <li>RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Appeal from Crook County.</li> <li>Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve</li> <li>Myrin.</li> <li>Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.</li> <li>David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.</li> <li>Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.</li> <li>RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member,</li> <li>participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve Myrin.</li> <li>Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.</li> <li>David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.</li> <li>Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.</li> <li>RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		Appeal from Crook County.
26       Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve         27       Myrin.         28       Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.         30       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         31       David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.         32       Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.         34       RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.         37       DISMISSED       06/19/2008         39       You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
<ul> <li>Myrin.</li> <li>Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.</li> <li>David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.</li> <li>Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.</li> <li>RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		Terrence B. O'Sullivan, Bend, represented petitioners Jim Bauersfeld and Steve
<ul> <li>Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.</li> <li>David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.</li> <li>Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.</li> <li>RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.</li> <li>David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.</li> <li>Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.</li> <li>RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>30</li> <li>31 David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.</li> <li>32</li> <li>33 Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.</li> <li>34</li> <li>35 RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.</li> <li>37</li> <li>38 DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>39</li> <li>40 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		Tamara E. MacLeod, Bend, represented petitioner Charlotta Coffer.
<ul> <li>David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.</li> <li>Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.</li> <li>RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.</li> <li>RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		David M. Gordon, Prineville, represented respondent.
<ul> <li>Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.</li> <li>RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member,</li> <li>participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member,</li> <li>participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		Mark Copeland, Georgette Copeland, Prineville, represented themselves.
<ul> <li>RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member,</li> <li>participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>participated in the decision.</li> <li>DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		RYAN, Board Chair: BASSHAM, Board Member: HOLSTUN, Board Member,
<ul> <li>37</li> <li>38 DISMISSED 06/19/2008</li> <li>39</li> <li>40 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the</li> </ul>		
38DISMISSED06/19/20083940You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
3940You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		DISMISSED 06/19/2008
40 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the		
5 C 7		You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
	41	provisions of ORS 197.850.

1

Ryan, Board Chair.

## 2 MOTION TO INTERVENE

Mark Copeland and Georgette Copeland, the applicants below, move to intervene on the side of the respondent in this appeal. There is no opposition to the motion and it is granted.

## 6 MOTION TO DISMISS

Petitioners request that this appeal be dismissed. Accordingly, this appeal isdismissed.