

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS

2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3
4 OREGON SHORES CONSERVATION
5 COALITION and MOLLY LOWENBERG,

6 *Petitioners,*

7
8 vs.

9 CLATSOP COUNTY,

10 *Respondent,*

11 and

12
13
14 RUSSELL EARL, STEVEN EARL,
15 DANIEL EARL, LOIS BARKER and

16 OSBURN-OLSON, LLC,

17 *Intervenor-Respondents.*

18
19 LUBA No. 2008-050

20
21 FINAL OPINION
22 AND ORDER

23
24 Appeal from Clatsop County.

25
26 James D. Brown, Portland, represented petitioner.

27
28 Blair Henningsgaard, Astoria, represented respondent.

29
30 Stark Ackerman, Portland, represented intervenor-respondents.

31
32 BASSHAM, Board Member; RYAN, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member,
33 participated in the decision.

34
35 REMANDED

06/10/2008

36
37 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
38 provisions of ORS 197.850.

Opinion by Bassham.

MOTION FOR VOLUNTARY REMAND

The county moves for voluntary remand. Petitioner advises the Board that it has discussed the matter with the county and intervenor-respondents, and that it does not oppose voluntary remand “to the extent that the remand will address all issues raised by Petitioner in the Petition for Review.” Petitioner’s Response to Motion for Voluntary Remand 1.

Given the parties’ apparent agreement, the Board grants the motion for voluntary remand, with the understanding that on remand the county will address all issues raised in the petition for review.

The county’s decision is remanded.