1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3 4	FRIENDS OF YAMHILL COUNTY,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	YAMHILL COUNTY,
10	Respondent,
11	
12	and
13	
14	GORDON COOK,
15	Intervenor-Respondent.
16	
17	LUBA No. 2008-082
18	
19	FINAL OPINION
20	AND ORDER
21	Annual from Variatill Conneter
22 23	Appeal from Yamhill County.
23 24	Charles Swindells Doutland nonnegented natitionan
24 25	Charles Swindells, Portland, represented petitioner.
23 26	Fredric Sanai, McMinnville, represented respondent.
20 27	Fredric Sanai, Welvinnivine, represented respondent.
28	John C. Pinkstaff and Charles F. Hudson, Portland, represented intervenor-
28 29	respondent.
30	respondent.
31	HOLSTUN, Board Member; RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member,
32	participated in the decision.
33	
34	TRANSFERRED 09/30/2008
35	
36	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
37	provisions of ORS 197.850.
	•

1	Opinion by Holstun.
2	NATURE OF THE DECISION
3	Petitioner appeals a county vested rights determination that intervenor-respondent is
4	entitled to proceed with development authorized under a Measure 37 waiver.
5	MOTION TO INTERVENE
6	Gordon Cook (intervenor), the applicant below, moves to intervene on the side of the
7	respondent in this appeal. There is no opposition to the motion and it is granted.
8	JURISDICTION
9	Petitioner moves to transfer this appeal to Yamhill County Circuit Court pursuant to
10	ORS 34.102 and OAR 661-010-0075(11). Under circumstances identical to the
11	circumstances presented in this case, LUBA concluded that it lacked jurisdiction. Friends of
12	Yamhill County v. Yamhill County, Or LUBA (LUBA No. 2008-060, July 2, 2008).
13	No party objects to petitioner's motion, and we agree that our decision in Friends of Yamhill
14	County is dispositive.

15 Accordingly, this appeal is transferred.