1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	and
8	
9	TERRY W. EMMERT,
10	Intervenor-Petitioner,
11	
12	VS.
13	
14	CLACKAMAS COUNTY,
15	Respondent,
16	Tiespenwenn,
17	and
18	
19	TERRY W. EMMERT,
20	Intervenor-Respondent.
21	Thervelor Respondent.
22	LUBA No. 2007-148
23	<i>Beblitto.</i> 2007 110
24	FINAL OPINION
25	AND ORDER
26	THIS ORDER
27	Appeal from Clackamas County.
28	Appear from Checkanias County.
29	Kathryn A. Lincoln, Assistant Attorney General, Salem, represented petitioner.
30	Radii yii 71. Emeoni, 713313tant 711torney General, Salein, represented petitioner.
31	D. Daniel Chandler, Assistant County Counsel, Oregon City, represented respondent.
32	D. Danier Chandier, Assistant County Counser, Oregon City, represented respondent.
33	Cynthia K. McNeff, Clackamas, represented intervenor-respondent.
34	Cynuna K. Merten, Clackamas, represented intervenor-respondent.
35	BASSHAM, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.
36	DASSITAM, Board Chair, HOLSTON, Board Member, participated in the decision.
37	RYAN, Board Member, did not participate in the decision.
38	KTAN, Board Member, did not participate in the decision.
30 39	DISMISSED 12/05/2008
39 40	DISMISSED 12/03/2006
40 41	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
42	provisions of ORS 197.850.

Opinion by Bassham.

2 **MOTION TO INTERVENE**

Terry W. Emmert, the applicant below, separately moves to intervene on the side of petitioner and on the side of respondent in the above-captioned appeal. No party opposes the motions, and they are allowed.

DECISION

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

13

15

16

- Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, Clackamas County 8 withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on June 23, 2008. On September 15, 2008, the Board received Clackamas County's decision on reconsideration. 10 Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioner had until October 6, 2008 to either refile its original notice of intent to appeal in this matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. 12 The Board has not received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a). 14 OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to appeal is filed
 - or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in [OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed."
- 17 This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or LUBA 557 (1993).18