1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	NOBLE BUILT HOMES LLC.,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8 9	CITY OF CILVEDTON
	CITY OF SILVERTON,
10	Respondent.
11	LUDA N. 2000 122
12	LUBA No. 2009-122
13	FINAL OPINION
14	
15	AND ORDER
16 17	Annal from Cilvanton
18	Appeal from Silverton.
19	Owan Van Elva Silvartan represented natitionar
20	Owen Von Flue, Silverton, represented petitioner.
21	Dichard D. Dodomon, Washougal, raprosanted respondent
22	Richard D. Rodeman, Washougal, represented respondent.
23	BASSHAM, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member; RYAN, Board Member,
24	participated in the decision.
25	participated in the decision.
26	DISMISSED 04/22/2010
27	DISIVIISSED 04/22/2010
28	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
28 29	provisions of ORS 197.850.
<i>4</i> 7	PIOVISIONS OF ORS 171.030.

1	Opinion by bassnam
2	The petition for review in the appeal was due March 2, 2010. The petition for review
3	has not been filed, nor has an extension of time to file the petition for review been granted.
4	ORS 197.830(11) requires that a petition for review be filed within the deadlines
5	established by Board rule. OAR 661-010-0030(1) provides, in relevant part:
6 7 8 9	"* * The petition for review together with four copies shall be filed with the Board within 21 days after the date the record is received or settled by the Board. * * * Failure to file a petition for review within the time required by this section, and any extensions of that time under * * * OAR 661-010-0067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal * * *."
11	OAR 661-010-0067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the petition for review may be
12	extended only by written consent of all the parties.
13	The deadline for filing the petition for review is strictly enforced. Terrace Lakes
14	Homeowners Assoc. v. City of Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, 535, aff'd 138 Or App 188, 906 P2d
15	871 (1995); Hutmacher v. Marion County, 15 Or LUBA 514, 515 (1987).
16	Because a petition for review was not filed within the time required by our rules, and
17	petitioner did not obtain written consent to extend the time for filing the petition for review
18	under OAR-661-010-0067(2) beyond March 2, 2010, ORS 197.830(11) and OAR
19	661-010-0030(1) require that we dismiss this appeal.
20	This appeal is dismissed.