1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2 3	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
4	MOLLY JACOBSEN and DANA JACOBSEN,
5	Petitioners,
6	<i>i cuuoners</i> ,
7	VS.
8	¥5.
9	CITY OF WINSTON,
10	Respondent,
11	Кезрониени,
12	and
12	una
14	FULLERTON & LEFEVRE,
15	Intervenor-Respondent.
16	mervener Respondent.
17	LUBA No. 2007-138
18	
19	FINAL OPINION
20	AND ORDER
21	
22	Appeal from City of Winston.
23	
24	Molly Jacobsen, Winston, represented herself.
25	
26	Zack P. Mittge, Eugene, represented respondent.
27	
28	James Spickerman, Eugene, represented intervenor-respondent.
29	· ····································
30	RYAN, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member,
31	participated in the decision.
32	
33	REMANDED 08/03/2010
34	
35	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
36	provisions of ORS 197.850.

Page 1

1

3

Opinion by Ryan.

## 2 NATURE OF THE DECISION

Petitioners appeal a city decision approving a partition and zone change.

## 4 MOTION FOR VOLUNTARY REMAND

5 On July 12, 2010, the city moved for a voluntary remand of the challenged decision. 6 In its motion, the city agrees to address all errors alleged in petitioners' petition for review on 7 remand, or to allow the applicant to withdraw the application. Intervenor-respondent does 8 not object to the motion, and has indicated in writing to the city that it intends to withdraw 9 the application during any remand proceedings. Petitioners have not responded to the city's 10 motion.

LUBA will grant a motion for voluntary remand when the local government agrees to address all the errors alleged in the petition for review. *Angel v. City of Portland*, 20 Or LUBA 541, 543-44 (1991). Because the city agrees to address all the issues raised in the petition for review, the motion is granted.

Accordingly, the city's decision is remanded and oral argument that was previously
scheduled for August 26, 2010 is canceled.