1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	BONNIE BRODERSEN,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CITY OF ASHLAND,
10	Respondent,
11	
12	and
13	
14	WILLIAM McDONALD and LYNN McDONALD,
15	Intervenors-Respondents.
16	11ID 4 N
17	LUBA No. 2010-038
18	FINAL ODINION
19	FINAL OPINION
20	AND ORDER
21	Annual from City of Ashland
22 23	Appeal from City of Ashland.
23 24	Bonnie Brodersen, Ashland, represented herself.
2 <del>4</del> 25	Boiline Brodersen, Asmand, represented hersen.
25 26	Richard Appicello, City Attorney, Ashland, represented respondent.
20 27	Richard Applicano, City Attorney, Asinand, represented respondent.
28	Mark S. Bartholomew, Medford, represented intervenors-respondents.
29	mark 5. Bartholomew, medioid, represented intervenors-respondents.
30	BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; RYAN, Board Member
31	participated in the decision.
32	participated in the decision.
33	DISMISSED 08/12/2010
34	00/12/2010
35	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
36	provisions of ORS 197.850.

1 Opinion by Bassham.
-----------------------

## NATURE OF THE DECISION

- 3 Petitioner challenges a city staff decision issued on April 8, 2010 that extends a
- 4 previously granted Physical & Environmental Constraints Review Permit.

## 5 **JURISDICTION**

2

- 6 For the reasons set out in *Broderson v. City of Ashland*, \_\_ Or LUBA \_\_ (LUBA No.
- 7 2010-038/056/058, Order), issued this date, the decision challenged in this appeal is not a
- 8 land use decision subject to the Board's jurisdiction. Therefore this appeal is dismissed.