1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	BONNIE BRODERSEN,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CITY OF ASHLAND,
10	Respondent,
11	
12	and
13	
14	WILLIAM McDONALD and LYNN McDONALD,
15	Intervenors-Respondents.
16	11ID 4 N
17	LUBA No. 2010-058
18	FINAL ODINION
19	FINAL OPINION
20	AND ORDER
21 22	Appeal from City of Ashland.
23	Appear from City of Ashrand.
23 24	Bonnie Brodersen, Ashland, represented herself.
2 <del>4</del> 25	Bonnie Brodersen, Asmand, represented hersen.
25 26	Richard Appicello, City Attorney, Ashland, represented respondent.
27	Richard Applicatio, City Attorney, Asimand, represented respondent.
28	Mark S. Bartholomew, Medford, represented intervenors-respondents.
29	mark 5. Bartholomew, medioid, represented intervenors-respondents.
30	BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; RYAN, Board Member
31	participated in the decision.
32	participated in the decision.
33	DISMISSED 08/12/2010
34	00/15/2010
35	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
36	provisions of ORS 197.850.

1 Opinion by Bassham.

## NATURE OF THE DECISION

- 3 Petitioner challenges the adoption of Ordinance No. 3007, which adopts new
- 4 standards for extending certain permit decisions.

## 5 **JURISDICTION**

2

- 6 For the reasons set out in *Broderson v. City of Ashland*, \_\_ Or LUBA \_\_ (LUBA No.
- 7 2010-038/056/058, Order), issued this date, petitioner's appeal of Ordinance No. 3007 is
- 8 untimely, and therefore the Board does not have jurisdiction over this appeal.
- 9 This appeal is dismissed.