1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS			
2 3	OF THE STATE OF OREGON			
3 4	CT EDANCIC OF ACCICIDADICII			
5	ST. FRANCIS OF ASSISI PARISH,			
<i>5</i>	Petitioner,			
7	NO.			
8	VS.			
9	WASHINGTON COUNTY,			
10	·			
10	Respondent,			
12	LUBA No. 2010-047			
13	LUDA NO. 2010-047			
14	FINAL OPINION			
15				
16	AND ORDER			
17	Appeal from Washington County.			
18	Appear from washington County.			
19	Andrew H. Stamp, Lake Oswego, represented petitioner.			
20	Andrew 11. Stamp, Lake Oswego, represented petitioner.			
21	Christopher A. Gilmore, County Counsel, Hillsboro, represented respondent.			
22	emistopher 11. Chinore, County Counsel, Illisooro, represented respondent.			
23	RYAN, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member,			
24	participated in the decision.			
25	participated in the decision.			
26	DISMISSED 12/14/2010			
27				
28	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the			
29	provisions of ORS 197.850.			
	Provincia di Cita is ricco.			

Opinion	hv	R۱	/an
Ophilion	υy	1/	y am.

- Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, the respondent withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on June 17, 2010. On November 16, 2010, the Board received the decision on reconsideration. Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioner had until December 7, 2010 to either refile its original notice of intent to appeal in this matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal.
- OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to appeal is filed or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in [OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed." The Board has not received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
- This appeal is dismissed. *Matrix Development v. City of Tigard*, 25 Or LUBA 557 (1993).

1