1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	JOHN HARPER, ANGELICA ELINA HARPER,
5	ELIZABETH O'CONNELL, TIMOTHY COPE,
6	MEREDITH COPE, JAMES RITCHIE,
7	DEANNA RITCHIE, and AWBREY BUTTE
8	NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION,
9	Petitioners,
10	
11	VS.
12	
13	CITY OF BEND,
14	Respondent,
15	
16	and
17	CENTER AL OREGON COMMUNITY COLLEGE
18	CENTRAL OREGON COMMUNITY COLLEGE,
19	Intervenor-Respondent.
20	LUBA No. 2011-074
21 22	LOBA No. 2011-0/4
22	FINAL OPINION
23 24	AND ORDER
21 22 23 24 25	THE ORDER
26	Appeal from City of Bend.
27	Tappens from only of Zena.
28	Daniel Kearns, Portland, represented petitioner.
29	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
30	Mary A. Winters, City Attorney, Bend, represented respondent.
31	
32	Myles Conway, Bend, represented intervenor-respondent.
33	
34	RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member,
35	participated in the decision.
36	
37	DISMISSED 02/07/2012
38	
39	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
40	provisions of ORS 197.850.

Opinion by Ryan.

2

3

4

5

13

14

15

MOTION TO INTERVENE

Central Oregon Community College, the applicant below, moves to intervene on the side of the respondent in the appeal. There is no opposition to the motion and it is granted.

DISMISSAL

- Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, the City of Bend withdrew
 the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on September 6, 2011. On January
 10, 2012, the Board received the City's decision on reconsideration. Pursuant to
 OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioners had until January 31, 2012 to either refile their original
 notice of intent to appeal in this matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The
 Board has not received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of
 intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
 - OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to appeal is filed or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in [OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed."
- This appeal is dismissed. *Matrix Development v. City of Tigard*, 25 Or LUBA 557 (1993).