1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	STAFFORD HAMLET
5	and SALLY QUIMBY
6	Petitioners,
7	
8	VS.
9	
10	CLACKAMAS COUNTY,
11	Respondent,
12	
13	and
14	
15	S & H LOGGING LLC,
16	Intervenor-Respondent.
17	
18	LUBA No. 2011-117
19	EDIAL ODDIVON
20	FINAL OPINION
21	AND ORDER
22	A marcal forms Clarks marc Country
23 24	Appeal from Clackamas County.
24 25	Coon T. Molono, Eugene managented motitioners
25 26	Sean T. Malone, Eugene, represented petitioners.
20 27	Rhett C. Tatum, Oregon City, represented respondent.
28	Kliett C. Tatulli, Oregon City, represented respondent.
29	Steven W. Abel, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent.
30	Steven W. 11801, I ordana, represented intervenor respondent.
31	BASSHAM, Board Member; RYAN, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member,
32	participated in the decision.
33	Para-Para-
34	DISMISSED 03/15/2012
35	
36	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
37	provisions of ORS 197.850.

1	Opinion by Bassham.
2	Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, Clackamas County
3	withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on January 12, 2012. Or
4	February 14, 2012, the Board received Clackamas County's decision on reconsideration
5	Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioner had until March 6, 2012 to either refile its
6	original notice of intent to appeal in this matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal
7	The Board has not received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice
8	of intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
9	OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to appeal is filed
10	or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in [OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)]
11	the appeal will be dismissed."
12	This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or LUBA 557

13

(1993).