1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	LES K. POOLE,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CITY OF MILWAUKIE,
10	Respondent,
11	*
12	and
13	
14	TRI-COUNTY METROPOLITAN
15	TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT OF OREGON,
16	Intervenor-Respondent.
17	
18	LUBA No. 2012-008
19	
20	FINAL OPINION
21	AND ORDER
22	
23	Appeal from City of Milwaukie.
24	
25	Les K. Poole, Milwaukie, represented himself.
26	
27	Timothy V. Ramis, Lake Oswego, represented respondent.
28	
29	Steven W. Abel, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent.
30	
31	BASSHAM, Board Member; RYAN, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member,
32	participated in the decision.
33	
34	DISMISSED 04/18/2012
35	
36	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
37	provisions of ORS 197.850.

Opinion by Bassham.

## 2 NATURE OF THE DECISION

1

7

3 Petitioner appeals a city decision approving a bridge for a light rail project.

## 4 MOTION TO INTERVENE

- 5 Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon (intervenor) moves to 6 intervene on the side of respondent. There is no opposition to the motion and it is allowed.
  - PETITION FOR REVIEW
- 8 The petition for review in the appeal was due March 23, 2012. The petition for
- 9 review has not been filed, nor has an extension of time to file the petition for review been
- granted. On March 30, 2012, intervenor filed a motion to dismiss this appeal pursuant to
- OAR 661-010-0030(1), which provides in relevant part:
- 12 "\* \* \* The petition for review together with four copies shall be filed with the
- Board within 21 days after the date the record is received or settled by the
- Board. \* \* \* Failure to file a petition for review within the time required by
- this section, and any extensions of that time under \* \* \* OAR 661-010-
- 16 0067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal \* \* \*."
- OAR 661-010-0067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the petition for review may be
- 18 extended only by written consent of all the parties. Petitioner concedes that he has not
- obtained the written consent of all the parties.
- Because a petition for review was not filed within the time required by our rules, and
- 21 petitioner did not obtain written consent to extend the time for filing the petition for review
- 22 under OAR-661-010-0067(2) beyond March 23, 2012; ORS 197.830(11) and OAR
- 23 661-010-0030(1) require that we dismiss this appeal.
- This appeal is dismissed.