1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	SHANNON SMITH,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	vs.
8	
9	CITY OF GEARHART,
10	Respondent,
11	
12	LUBA No. 2012-049
13	
14	FINAL OPINION
15	AND ORDER
16	
17	Appeal from City of Gearhart.
18	
19	John W. Shonkwiler, Tigard, represented petitioner
20	
21	Jeffrey J. Bennett, Lake Oswego, represented respondent.
22	
23	BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; RYAN, Board
24	Member, participated in the decision.
25	
26	DISMISSED 02/28/2014
27	
28	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is
29	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

Opinion by Bassham.

2	Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, the City of
3	Gearhart withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on
4	August 3, 2012. On October 31, 2012, the Board received the City of Gearhart
5	decision on reconsideration. Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioner
6	had until November 21, 2012, to either refile its original notice of intent to
7	appeal in this matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The Board
8	has not received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended
9	notice of intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
10	OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to
11	appeal is filed or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in
12	[OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed."

This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or

1

13

14

LUBA 557 (1993).