1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	JUBITZ CORPORATION,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	MARION COUNTY,
10	Respondent.
11	
12	LUBA No. 2015-054
13	
14	ALBINA HOLDINGS, INC.,
15	Petitioner,
16	
17	VS.
18	
19	MARION COUNTY,
20	Respondent.
21	
22	LUBA No. 2015-055
23	
24	ISBERG INVESTMENTS LLC,
25	Petitioner,
26	
27	VS.
28	
29	MARION COUNTY,
30	Respondent.
31	
32	LUBA No. 2015-056
33	
34	FINAL OPINION
35	AND ORDER
36	
37	Appeal from Marion County.
38	
39	Victor D. Stibolt, Portland, represented petitioner Jubitz Corporation
39	Victor D. Stibolt, Portland, represented petitioner Jubitz Corporation

1	
2	Thomas R. Rask, III, Portland, represented petitioner Albina Holdings,
3	Inc.
4	
5	Neil Olsen, Lake Oswego, represented petitioner Isberg Investments
6	LLC.
7	
8	Gloria Roy, County Counsel, Salem, represented respondent.
9	
10	RYAN, Board Member; BASSHAM, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board
11	Member, participated in the decision.
12	
13	DISMISSED 12/15/2015
14	
15	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is
16	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

1	Opinion by Ryan.
2	The petitions for review in this appeal were due November 9, 2015. The
3	petitions for review have not been filed, nor has an extension of time to file the
4	petitions for review been granted.
5	ORS 197.830(11) requires that a petition for review be filed within the
6	deadlines established by Board rule. OAR 661-010-0030(1) provides, in
7	relevant part:
8 9 10 11 12 13	"* * The petition for review together with four copies shall be filed with the Board within 21 days after the date the record is received or settled by the Board. * * * Failure to file a petition for review within the time required by this section, and any extensions of that time under * * * OAR 661-010-0067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal * * *."
14	OAR 661-010-0067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the petition for
15	review may be extended only by written consent of all the parties.
16	The deadline for filing the petition for review is strictly enforced
17	Terrace Lakes Homeowners Assoc. v. City of Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, 535
18	aff'd 138 Or App 188, 906 P2d 871 (1995); Hutmacher v. Marion County, 15
19	Or LUBA 514, 515 (1987).
20	Because the petitions for review were not filed within the time required
21	by our rules, and petitioners did not obtain written consent to extend the time
22	for filing the petitions for review under OAR 661-010-0067(2) beyond
23	November 9, 2015, ORS 197.830(11) and OAR 661-010-0030(1) require that
24	we dismiss these appeals.
25	The appeals are dismissed.
	Page 3