| 1       | BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS                                  |
|---------|---|
| 2       | OF THE STATE OF OREGON  |
| 3       |   |
| 4       | DENISE L. BENSON,   |
| 5       | Petitioner,   |
| 6       |   |
| 7       | VS.   |
| 8       |   |
| 9       | JACKSON COUNTY,   |
| 10      | Respondent.   |
| 11      | 1   |
| 12      | LUBA No. 2016-085   |
| 13      |   |
| 14      | FINAL OPINION   |
| 15      | AND ORDER   |
| 16      |   |
| 17      | Appeal from Jackson County.   |
| 18      |   |
| 19      | Robert A. Graham Jr., Ashland, represented petitioner.                |
| 20      | Robert II. Chanani II., Ibinand, Tepresented petitionen               |
| 21      | Joel C. Benton, County Counsel, Medford, represented respondent.      |
| 22      |   |
| 23      | RYAN, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board              |
| 24      | Member, participated in the decision.                                 |
| 25      | Member, participated in the decision.                                 |
| 26      | DISMISSED 11/10/2016  |
| 27      |   |
| 28      | You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is |
| 28      | governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.                            |
| <i></i> | Some first of the provisions of OKS 177.050.                          |

| 1                              | Opinion by Ryan.   |
|--------------------------------|--|
| 2                              | The petition for review in this appeal was due October 12, 2016. The   |
| 3                              | petition for review has not been filed, nor has an extension of time to file the   |
| 4                              | petition for review been granted.  |
| 5                              | ORS 197.830(11) requires that a petition for review be filed within the  |
| 6                              | deadlines established by Board rule. OAR 661-010-0030(1) provides, in  |
| 7                              | relevant part:   |
| 8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13 | "* * * The petition for review together with four copies shall be<br>filed with the Board within 21 days after the date the record is<br>received or settled by the Board. * * * Failure to file a petition for<br>review within the time required by this section, and any extensions<br>of that time under * * * OAR 661-010-0067(2), shall result in<br>dismissal of the appeal * * *." |
| 14                             | OAR 661-010-0067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the petition for   |
| 15                             | review may be extended only by written consent of all the parties.   |
| 16                             | The deadline for filing the petition for review is strictly enforced.  |
| 17                             | Terrace Lakes Homeowners Assoc. v. City of Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, 535,   |
| 18                             | aff'd 138 Or App 188, 906 P2d 871 (1995); Hutmacher v. Marion County, 15   |
| 19                             | Or LUBA 514, 515 (1987).   |
| 20                             | Because a petition for review was not filed within the time required by  |
| 21                             | our rules, and petitioner did not obtain written consent to extend the time for  |
| 22                             | filing the petition for review under OAR 661-010-0067(2) beyond October 12,  |
| 23                             | 2016, ORS 197.830(11) and OAR 661-010-0030(1) require that we dismiss  |
| 24                             | this appeal.   |

1 This appeal is dismissed.