1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	TENAMEED LONG
4	JENNIFER LONG,
5	Petitioner,
6	1
7	and
8	
9	CHERYL FARNSWORTH and PORTIA MITTONS,
10	Intervenors-Petitioners,
11	
12	VS.
13	CITY OF CLIMPTED
14	CITY OF SUMPTER,
15	Respondent.
16	LUBA No. 2016-128
17	LUBA No. 2010-128
18	FINAL OPINION
19 20	AND ORDER
20 21	AND ORDER
22	Appeal from City of Sumpter.
23	Appear from City of Sumpter.
23 24	Jennifer Long, Sumpter, represented herself.
2 5	Jemmer Long, Sumpter, represented hersen.
26	Ross Day, Portland, represented intervenors-petitioners.
27	Ross Buy, I ordana, represented intervenors peditioners.
28	Dan Van Thiel, Baker City, represented respondent.
29	Buil Vail Tiller, Builer City, represented respondent
30	RYAN, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board
31	Member, participated in the decision.
32	2,20,110 v., poz. 0,20,20 in v., v.
33	DISMISSED 04/17/2017
34	
35	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is
36	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

1	Opinion by Ryan.
2	The petition for review in this appeal was due on April 7, 2017. The
3	petition for review has not been filed, nor has an extension of time to file the
4	petition for review been granted.
5	ORS 197.830(11) requires that a petition for review be filed within the
6	deadlines established by Board rule. OAR 661-010-0030(1) provides, in
7	relevant part:
8 9 10 11 12 13	"* * The petition for review together with four copies shall be filed with the Board within 21 days after the date the record is received or settled by the Board. * * * Failure to file a petition for review within the time required by this section, and any extensions of that time under * * * OAR 661-010-0067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal * * *."
14	OAR 661-010-0067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the petition for
15	review may be extended only by written consent of all the parties.
16	The deadline for filing the petition for review is strictly enforced.
17	Terrace Lakes Homeowners Assoc. v. City of Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, 535,
18	aff'd 138 Or App 188, 906 P2d 871 (1995); Hutmacher v. Marion County, 15
19	Or LUBA 514, 515 (1987).
20	Because a petition for review was not filed within the time required by
21	our rules, and petitioner did not obtain written consent to extend the time for
22	filing the petition for review under OAR 661-010-0067(2) beyond April 7,
23	2017, ORS 197.830(11) and OAR 661-010-0030(1) require that we dismiss
24	this appeal.

1 This appeal is dismissed.