1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS		
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON		
3			
4	GLYNN ALLOTT, JULIE ALLOTT,		
5	DOROTHY COFIELD,		
6	and FEDERAL HOME LOAN		
7	MORTGAGE CORPORATION,		
8	Petitioners,		
9	****		
10 11	VS.		
12	MULTNOMAH COUNTY,		
13	Respondent.		
14	Respondent.		
15	LUBA No. 2017-010		
16	EOD/(100. 2017 010		
17	FINAL OPINION		
18	AND ORDER		
19			
20	Appeal from Multnomah County.		
21			
22	Dorothy S. Cofield, Portland, represented petitioner Federal Home Loan		
23	Mortgage Corporation. Glynn Allott and Julie Allott, Gresham, represented		
24	themselves.		
25			
26	Jed R. Tomkins, Assistant County Counsel, Portland, represented		
27	respondent.		
28			
29	RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board		
30	Member, participated in the decision.		
31			
32	DISMISSED 08/01/2017		
33			
34	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is		
35	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.		

1	Opinion	by Ryan.
1	Opinion	oy ityuii.

- Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, respondent withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on May 4, 2017. On June 27, 2017, the Board received Multnomah County's decision on reconsideration. Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(1), petitioners had until July 18, 2017 to either refile their original notice of intent to appeal in this matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The Board has not received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of
- OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to appeal is filed or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in [OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed."

intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).

This appeal is dismissed. *Matrix Development v. City of Tigard*, 25 Or LUBA 557 (1993).

9