1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS			
2 3	OF THE STATE OF OREGON			
4	ORAL HULL FOUNDATION FOR			
5	THE BLIND, INC.,			
6	Petitioner,			
7	1 ennoner,			
8	vs.			
9	vs.			
10	CLACKAMAS COUNTY,			
11				
12	Respondent,			
12	and			
13	and			
14	TOAL PROPERTIES, LLC,			
16	Intervenor-Respondent.			
17	Intervenor-Kesponueni.			
18	LUBA No. 2017-022			
19	LODATIO. 2017 022			
20	FINAL OPINION			
21	AND ORDER			
22				
23	Appeal from Clackamas County.			
24	rippeur nom chuchanas county.			
25	Allison J. Reynolds and David J. Delmar, Portland, represented			
26	petitioner.			
27	peddionel.			
28	Nathan K. Boderman, Assistant County Counsel, Oregon City,			
29	represented respondent.			
30				
31	Dorothy S. Cofield, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent.			
32	Doromy 5. Conord, i ornand, represented intervenor respondent.			
33	RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN Board			
34	Member, participated in the decision.			
35	Member, participated in the decision.			
36	DISMISSED 08/28/2017			
37				
38	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is			
50	Tou are entried to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is			

1 governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

1	

Opinion by Ryan.

## 2 NATURE OF THE DECISION

3 Petitioner appeals a decision by the county approving a conditional use4 permit for a home occupation for events in a pole barn.

## 5 JURISDICTION

In a separate order issued this date, we concluded that petitioner failed to
establish that it appeared during the proceedings below, as required by ORS
197.830(2)(b), and that it lacks standing to appeal the decision for the reasons
explained in the order. *Willis v. Clackamas County*, \_\_ Or LUBA \_\_ (Order,
LUBA Nos. 2017-021/022, August 28 2017).

11 Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.