1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS	
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON	
3		
4	RANDY SIMPSON and GAYLE ASHFORD,	
5	Petitioners,	
6		والشراوة
7	vs. Ol/15/18 Ft 2:24 Lik	35-1
8		
9	CITY OF BROWNSVILLE,	
10	Respondent.	
11	1 I I D A 3 I . 001 G . 00 G	
12	LUBA No. 2017-097	
13	EDIAL ODDITON	
14	FINAL OPINION	
15	AND ORDER	
16	A 1 for an City of Durana wills	
17	Appeal from City of Brownsville.	
18	Con T Melana Engage and Drien Michaela Engage represented	
19	Sean T. Malone, Eugene, and Brian Michaels, Eugene, represented	
20	petitioners.	
21	Ross M. Williamson, Eugene, represented respondent.	
22	Ross W. Williamson, Eugene, represented respondent.	
23 24	RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board	
24 25	Member, participated in the decision.	
25 26	Member, participated in the decision.	
20 27	DISMISSED 01/16/2018	
28	DISIVINGSED 01/10/2016	
20 29	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is	
2) 30	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850	

## Opinion by Ryan.

2	Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, the city
3	withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on
4	November 2, 2017. On December 14, 2017, the Board received the city's
5	decision on reconsideration. Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioners
6	had until January 4, 2018 to either refile their original notice of intent to appeal
7	in this matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The Board has not
8	received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of
9	intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
10	OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to
11	appeal is filed or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in
12	[OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed."
13	This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or
14	LUBA 557 (1993).

1