1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	JENNIFER LONG,
5	Petitioner,
6	·
7	VS.
8	02/22/18 anto:02 LU8A
9	CITY OF HUNTINGTON,
10	Respondent.
11	^
12	LUBA No. 2017-104
13	
14	FINAL OPINION
15	AND ORDER
16	
17	Appeal from City of Huntington.
18	
19	Jennifer Long, Baker City, represented herself.
20	
21	Larry A. Sullivan, Vale, represented respondent.
22	
23	RYAN, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board
24	Member, participated in the decision.
25	
26	DISMISSED 02/22/2018
27	
28	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is
29	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

`

1	Opinion by Ryan.
2	Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, the city
3	withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on
4	November 16, 2017. On January 18, 2018, the Board received the city's
5	decision on reconsideration. Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioner
6	had until February 8, 2018, to either refile her original notice of intent to appeal
7	in this matter or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The Board has not
8	received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of
9	intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
10	OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to
11	appeal is filed or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in
12	[OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed."
13	This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or
14	LUBA 557 (1993).