1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CITY OF MOLALLA, 02/26/19 AM10:56 LUBA
10	Respondent.
11	
12	LUBA No. 2018-101
13	
14	FINAL OPINION
15	AND ORDER
16	Amazal fram Citra of Malalla
17 18	Appeal from City of Molalla.
10 19	Lucinda D. Jackson, Assistant Attorney General, Salem, represented
20	petitioner.
21	pennoner.
22	Spencer Q. Parsons, Portland, represented respondent.
22 23	Spelicer Q. I arbons, I ornana, represented respondent.
24	BASSHAM, Board Member; RYAN, Board Chair; ZAMUDIO, Board
25	Member, participated in the decision.
26	
27	DISMISSED 02/26/2019
28	
29	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is
30	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

Opinion by Bassham.

2	Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, the city
3	withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on August
4	29, 2018. On December 3, 2018, the Board received the respondent's decision
5	on reconsideration. Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioner had until
6	December 24, 2018, to either refile its original notice of intent to appeal in this
7	matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The Board has not
8	received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of
9	intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
10	OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to
11	appeal is filed or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in
12	[OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed."
13	This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or
14	LUBA 557 (1993).

1