1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	JAY A. KITTAMS,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CLACKAMAS COUNTY,
10	Respondent,
11	
12	and
13	
14	CORK SOLAR LLC,
15	Intervenor-Respondent.
16	
17	LUBA No. 2019-117
18	
19	FINAL OPINION
20	AND ORDER
21	
22	Appeal from Clackamas County.
23	T A 77'4
24	Jay A. Kittams, Molalla, represented himself.
25	Ni-dian Dadaman One and Cita management duran and and
26	Nathan Boderman, Oregon City, represented respondent.
27 28	Sara A. H. Sayles and Damien Hall, Portland, represented intervenor-
28 29	respondent.
30	respondent.
31	ZAMUDIO, Board Member; RUDD, Board Chair; RYAN, Board Member
32	participated in the decision.
33	participated in the decision.
34	DISMISSED 02/13/2020
35	222.22222
36	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is
37	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

2

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

## NATURE OF THE DECISION

Petitioner challenges a decision by a county hearings officer approving a conditional use permit (CUP) to develop a seven-acre photovoltaic solar power generation facility on property zoned exclusive farm use.

## **MOTION TO DISMISS**

On October 17, 2019, the county hearings officer held the public hearing on the CUP application. Petitioner did not testify or otherwise appear at the hearing. That same day, the hearings officer concluded the hearing, closed the record, and issued a written decision approving the CUP.

As relevant here, ORS 197.830(2)(b) provides "[A] person may petition [LUBA] for review of a land use decision or limited land use decision if the person \* \* \* [a]ppeared before the local government, special district or state agency orally or in writing." Intervenor-respondent Cork Solar LLP (Cork Solar) moves to dismiss and argues that petitioner lacks standing because petitioner did not appear before the county in the local proceeding.

Petitioner has not responded to the motion to dismiss. It is petitioner's responsibility to establish standing. Because petitioner failed to do so, this appeal is dismissed. *See Strauss v. Jackson County*, 28 Or LUBA 56 (1994) (where the petitioner does not respond to a motion to dismiss for lack of standing, LUBA will dismiss the appeal).

The appeal is dismissed.