1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS		
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON		
3			
4	JASON SELF and ROCHELLE HAUSER,		
5	Petitioners,		
6			
7	vs.		
8			
9	CITY OF SALEM,		
10	Respondent.		
11			
12	LUBA No. 2020-008		
13			
14	FINAL OPINION		
15	AND ORDER		
16			
17	Appeal from City of Salem.		
18			
19	Jason Self and Rochelle Hauser, Salem, represented themselves.		
20			
21	Daniel B. Atchison, Salem, represented respondent.		
22			
23	RYAN, Board Member; RUDD, Board Chair, participated in the decision		
24	ZANJIDIO Desul Manulan di lanta menticinata in the desirina		
25 26	ZAMUDIO, Board Member, did not participate in the decision.		
26	DICMICCED 02/20/2020		
27	DISMISSED 02/28/2020		
28 29	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is		
29 30	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.		
ノリ	EUVERIOU DV IIIG DIOVISIONS OF CAND 17/.0JU.		

\sim · ·	. 1	n
()nini	ion by	y Ryan.

2	On January 29, 2020, the Board received a notice of intent to appeal that
3	did not comply with OAR 661-010-0015 in several respects. In a February 4,
4	2020 order, the Board ordered petitioners to file with the Board and serve on all
5	parties required to be served an amended notice of intent to appeal that complies
6	with OAR 661-010-0015. The order notified petitioners that if an amended notice
7	of intent to appeal was not filed and served by February 11, 2020, the Board
8	would dismiss the appeal.
9	In a subsequent February 14, 2020 order, the Board again ordered
10	petitioners to file with the Board and serve on all parties required to be served an

- petitioners to file with the Board and serve on all parties required to be served an amended notice of intent to appeal that complies with OAR 661-010-0015. The order notified petitioners that if an amended notice of intent to appeal was not filed and served by February 24, 2020, the Board would dismiss the appeal.
- The Board has not received an amended notice of intent to appeal.

 Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed.

1

11

12

13