1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	PAHLISCH HOMES, INC.,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CITY OF BEND,
10	Respondent,
11	
12	and
13	
14	THREE PINES RIDGE HOA,
15	Intervenor-Respondent.
16	
17	LUBA No. 2019-124
18	
19	FINAL OPINION
20	AND ORDER
21	
22	Appeal from City of Bend.
23	Mishaal C. Dalinaan and Camput II. Charles and David and annual state
24	Michael C. Robinson and Garrett H. Stephenson, Portland, represented
25 26	petitioner.
26 27	Ian Leitheiser, Bend, represented respondent.
28	fair Definerser, Bend, represented respondent.
29	Will Van Vactor, Bend, represented intervenor-respondent.
30	will vall vactor, bend, represented intervenor-respondent.
31	RUDD, Board Chair; RYAN, Board Member, participated in the decision
32	1000, Bourd Chair, 1017114, Bourd Wiemoor, participated in the decision
33	ZAMUDIO, Board Member, did not participate in the decision
34	= 1112213, 20m o 1120m o 11, one not pulled
35	DISMISSED 03/18/2020
36	
37	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is
38	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.
	-

Opinion by Rudd.

2 MOTION TO INTERVENE

1

5

- Three Pines Ridge HOA (intervenor) moves to intervene in this appeal.
- 4 The motion is unopposed and is granted.

RECONSIDERATION

- 6 On November 14, 2019, pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-
- 7 010-0021, the city withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for
- 8 reconsideration. On February 14, 2020, the Board received the City of Bend's
- 9 decision on reconsideration. Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioner
- had until March 6, 2020 to either refile its original notice of intent to appeal in
- 11 this matter or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The Board has not
- received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of intent
- to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
- OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to
- appeal is filed or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in
- 16 [OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed."
- 17 This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or
- 18 LUBA 557 (1993).