| 1 2 | BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF OREGON |
|--------|---|
| 2 3 | |
| 4 | JOHN POWELL and SHERYL POWELL, |
| 5 | Petitioners, |
| 6 | , , |
| 7 | VS. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | CITY OF SUBLIMITY, |
| 10 | Respondent. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | LUBA No. 2020-035 |
| 13 | |
| 14 | FINAL OPINION |
| 15 | AND ORDER |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Appeal from City of Sublimity. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | John Powell and Sheryl Powell Sublimity, represented themselves |
| 20 | Carolyn H. Connelly, represented respondent. |
| 21 | RUDD, Board Chair; RYAN, Board Member; ZAMUDIO, Board |
| 22 | Member, participated in the decision. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | DISMISSED 08/25/2020 |
| 25 | |
| 26 | You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is |
| 27 | governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850. |
| | |

| 2 | Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, the city |
|----|---|
| 3 | withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on April 15, |
| 4 | 2020. On June 15, 2020, the Board received the city's decision on |
| 5 | reconsideration. Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioners had until July |
| 6 | 6, 2020 to either refile their original notice of intent to appeal in this matter, or |
| 7 | file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The Board has not received a refiled |
| 8 | original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of intent to appeal in |
| 9 | accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a). |
| 10 | OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to |
| 11 | appeal is filed or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in |
| 12 | [OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed." |
| 13 | This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or |
| 14 | LUBA 557 (1993). |

1