1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	LINDQUIST DEVELOPMENT CO. INC.,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CITY OF PORTLAND,
10	Respondent.
11	
12	LUBA No. 2021-038
13	
14	FINAL OPINION
15	AND ORDER
16	
17	Appeal from City of Portland.
18	
19	Thomas R. Rask represented petitioner.
20	Debout I. Toules were sented were and out
21	Robert L. Taylor represented respondent.
22	DVAN Doord Mambou ZAMIDIO Doord Chain DIDD Doord
23 24	RYAN, Board Member; ZAMUDIO, Board Chair; RUDD, Board Member, participated in the decision.
2 <del>4</del> 25	iviember, participated in the decision.
2 <i>5</i> 26	DISMISSED 11/15/2021
27	DISWISSED 11/13/2021
28	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is
29	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.
	Bovernea of the provincial of Otto 177.000.

## Opinion by Ryan.

2	Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, the City
3	withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on July 9,
4	2021. On October 15, 2021, the Board received the City's decision on
5	reconsideration. Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioner had until
6	November 5, 2021 to either refile its original notice of intent to appeal in this
7	matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The Board has not received
8	a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of intent to
9	appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
10	OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to
11	appeal is filed or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in
12	[OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed."
13	This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or
14	LUBA 557 (1993).

1