1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	PEGGY SUE JACOBUS, JUDY DAHL,
5	ANN FELBER, and KURT KNABKE,
6	Petitioners,
7	
8	VS.
9	
10	KLAMATH COUNTY
11	Respondent,
12	
13	and
14	
15	CITY OF CHILOQUIN
16	Intervenor-Respondent.
17	<ul> <li>Legal Legal general provides the soft of the soft of</li></ul>
18	LUBA No. 2023-058
19	
20	FINAL OPINION
21	AND ORDER
22	
23	Appeal from Klamath County.
24	
25	Charles W. Woodward, IV represented petitioners.
26	
27	County Counsel represented respondent.
28	
29	Mark S. Bartholomew represented intervenor-respondent.
30	
31	RUDD, Board Member; RYAN, Board Chair; ZAMUDIO, Board
32	Member, participated in the decision.
33	
34	DISMISSED 08/25/2023
35	
36	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is
37	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

Opinion by Rudd.

## 1

2

5

## MOTION TO INTERVENE

- 3 City of Chiloquin (intervenor) moves to intervene on the side of the county.
- 4 The motion is unopposed and is granted.

## DISMISSAL

- 6 On July 20, 2023, the Board received petitioners' notice of intent to appeal
- 7 (NITA) a county board of commissioners' decision approving an exception to
- 8 Statewide Planning Goal 4 (Forest Lands) and establishing a Limited Use
- 9 Overlay on the subject property. On July 21, 2023, we issued our order stating:
- 10 "The NITA does not contain the name of the governing body's legal counsel, as
- 11 required by OAR 661-010-0015(3)(f)(B)."
- We directed petitioners to, within seven days of the date of our order, (1)
- 13 file with LUBA an original and two copies of a corrected NITA that complied
- 14 with our rules; and (2) serve the same on all parties entitled to service of the
- 15 NITA. The order explained that if a corrected NITA was not filed and served
- within seven days of the date of the order, the Board would dismiss the appeal.
- 17 Green v. Linn County, Or LUBA (LUBA No 2021-068, Nov 9, 2021);
- 18 Wendt v. City of Klamath Falls, 81 Or LUBA 266, aff'd, 304 Or App 874, 466
- 19 P3d 106 (2020); Bruce v. City of Hillsboro, 32 Or LUBA 382, 387 (1997).
- As of today's date, petitioners have not filed a corrected NITA, and the
- deadline to do so has passed. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.