1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	GLENN PETRY,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CITY OF DEPOE BAY,
10	Respondent.
11	
12	LUBA No. 2023-070
13	
14	FINAL OPINION
15	AND ORDER
16	
17	Appeal from City of Depoe Bay.
18	
19	Glenn Petry represented themselves.
20	TWO I SEE A DECEMBER OF A SECURITION OF A SECU
21	No appearance by City of Depoe Bay.
22	
23	RYAN, Board Chair; RUDD, Board Member; ZAMUDIO, Board
24	Member, participated in the decision.
25	
26	DISMISSED 10/30/2023
27	
28	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is
29	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

1	Opinion by Ryan.
2	On September 27, 2023, the Board received petitioner's notice of intent
3	to appeal (NITA) a city planning commission decision. On October 2, 2023, we
4	issued our order stating: "The NITA does not comply with OAR 661-010-0015
5	in multiple respects." We directed petitioner to, within seven days of the date of
6	our order, (1) file with LUBA an original and two copies of a corrected NITA
7	that complied with our rules; and (2) serve the same on all parties entitled to
8	service of the NITA. The order explained that if a corrected NITA was not filed
9	and served within seven days of the date of the order, the Board would dismiss
10	the appeal. <i>Green v. Linn County</i> , Or LUBA (LUBA No 2021-068,
11	Nov 9, 2021); Wendt v. City of Klamath Falls, 81 Or LUBA 266, aff'd, 304 Or
12	App 874, 466 P3d 106 (2020); Bruce v. City of Hillsboro, 32 Or LUBA 382,
13	387 (1997).
14	As of today's date, petitioner has not filed a corrected NITA, and the
15	deadline to do so has passed. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.