1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	ger der de uiverder fine open pale (nije Garga, viel 2015) en miljerde
4	HAMPTON LUMBER MILLS-BANKS, INC., Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CITY OF BANKS,
10	Respondent.
11	
12	LUBA No. 2025-016
13	
14	FINAL OPINION
15	AND ORDER
16	
17	Appeal from City of Banks.
18	- appeal is file? or so original notice of resolute appeal is refiled as provided in
19	Dana L. Krawczuk represented petitioner.
20	
21	Daniel Kearns represented respondent.
22	
23	ZAMUDIO, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; WILSON, Board
24	Member, participated in the decision.
25	
26	DISMISSED 07/21/2025
27	
28	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is
29	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

Opinion by Zamudio.

2	Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, the city
3	withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on February
4	24, 2025. On June 13, 2025, the Board received the city's decision on
5	reconsideration. Pursuant to OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a), petitioner had until July
6	7, 2025, to either refile its original notice of intent to appeal in this matter, or file
7	an amended notice of intent to appeal. The Board has not received a refiled
8	original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of intent to appeal in
9	accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
10	OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to
11	appeal is filed or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in
12	[OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)], the appeal will be dismissed."
13	This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or
14	LUBA 557 (1993).

1