1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	ANNUNZIATA GOULD,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	vs.
8	
9	DESCHUTES COUNTY,
10	Respondent,
11	tar (G.o. Fotoli i grano, Reconflicte of Colling Aproportion).
12	and
13	
14	KAMERON DELASHMUTT and PINNACLE UTILITIES, LLC,
15	Intervenors-Respondents.
16	1 I I D A 3 I
17	LUBA Nos. 2024-089/090/097/098
18	EINIAL ODINIONI
19	FINAL OPINION
20	AND ORDER
21	Annual from Doschutos County
22 23	Appeal from Deschutes County.
23 24	Jennifer M. Bragar represented petitioner.
2 <del>4</del> 25	Jennier wi. Dragar represented petitioner.
25 26	David Doyle represented respondent.
20 27	David Doyle represented respondent.
28	J. Kenneth Katzaroff represented intervenors-respondents.
29	b. Izemiem izazzaten representea mien venera respondentea.
30	BASSHAM, Board Member; ZAMUDIO, Board Chair; WILSON, Board
31	Member, participated in the decision.
32	
33	DISMISSED 06/18/2025
34	and a second control of the factor of the fa
35	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is
36	governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.
	A201 0.00 III.

## Opinion by Bassham.

## NATURE OF THE DECISION

- In these consolidated appeals, petitioner appeals (1) two land use
- 4 compatibility statements (LUCS), regarding intervenors-respondents'
- 5 applications to the Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD) for temporary
- 6 water rights transfers, and (2) two county decisions rejecting petitioner's attempts
- 7 to file local appeals of the two LUCS decisions.

## DISMISSAL

1

2

8

- 9 On January 2, 2025, intervenors-respondents (intervenors), the applicants
- below, filed motions to intervene in LUBA Nos. 2024-089 and 2024-090. On
- January 6, 2025, intervenors filed motions to intervene in LUBA Nos. 2024-097
- and 2024-098. In an order dated January 23, 2025, LUBA granted intervenors'
- motions to intervene, and established a deadline for the county to file the record.
- 14 That order also dealt with matters of consolidation and addressed petitioner's
- 15 motion to suspend these appeals.
- On January 30, 2025, prior to the date the record was due, the county filed
- a motion to withdraw the four challenged decisions for reconsideration, pursuant
- 18 to OAR 661-010-0021 and ORS 197.830(13)(b). In a letter dated February 4,
- 19 2025, LUBA acknowledged the county's withdrawal, and noted that pursuant to
- 20 OAR 661-010-0021(1), the decision on reconsideration is required to be filed
- 21 with LUBA within 90 days after the notice of withdrawal was filed.

1	Ninety days passed, with no decision on reconsideration filed with LUBA.
2	On May 7, 2025, LUBA issued an order advising that, if no decision on
3	reconsideration is filed within 14 days of the date of the order, LUBA would issue
4	an order restarting the appeal.
5	On May 22, 2025, intervenors and the county (together, respondents) sent
6	a letter to LUBA, with a copy to petitioner, stating:
7 8 9 10	"We write to advise LUBA that the LUCSs were withdrawn via letter on January 2, 2025, therefore no decision was rendered on reconsideration because the LUCSs were withdrawn by the [a]pplicant. Therefore, the appeals should be dismissed as moot."
11	Thus, it appears that on January 2, 2025, intervenors withdrew either their LUCS
12	applications from the county or their underlying applications for water rights
13	transfer permits from the OWRD. Intervenors presumably did so with the intent
14	or knowledge that such actions would likely render these appeals moot.1
15	We regard respondents' May 22, 2025, letter as a motion to dismiss these

appeals. Petitioner has not responded to respondents' letter, and does not appear to oppose dismissal of these appeals as moot. Accordingly, these appeals are dismissed.

16

17

18

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Intervenors' five-month delay in advising LUBA and the parties that on January 2, 2025, they had withdrawn the applications underlying these appeals, and thus taken action to moot these appeals, arguably caused an unnecessary waste of LUBA and party resources, and possibly violated OAR 661-010-0012(7) (Notice of Related Matters). However, no party argues that any violation of OAR 661-010-0012(7) affected their substantial rights.

## Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that I served the foregoing Final Opinion and Order for LUBA No. 2024-089/090/097/098 on June 18, 2025, by mailing to said parties or their attorney a true copy thereof contained in a sealed envelope with postage prepaid addressed to said parties or their attorney as follows:

David Doyle
Deschutes County Counsel's Office
1300 NW Wall Street, Suite 205
Bend, OR 97703

J. Kenneth Katzaroff Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt PC 1420 5th Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, WA 98101

Jennifer M. Bragar Tomasi Bragar DuBay 121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 1850 Portland, OR 97204

Dated this 18th day of June, 2025.

Erin Pence Executive Assistant Hannah Barkemeyer Baker Executive Support Specialist