



OREGON BOARD OF MEDICAL IMAGING
800 NE Oregon Street – Suite 1160A
Portland, OR 97232-2162
 Phone: (971) 673-0215 / Fax: 971-673-0218
 Website: <http://www.oregon.gov/OBMI>
 Email: OBMI.Info@obmi.oregon.gov

PEER POSITIONING PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE EVALUATION FORM & INSTRUCTIONS

- 1.) An evaluation form is required for each radiograph evaluated.
- 2.) Evaluator must be a person designated in OAR 337-010-0030(2)(f)(A).
- 3.) This evaluation form must be retained for two (2) years after the evaluation has been completed.
- 4.) Do not submit this form with your practical evaluation certificate.
- 5.) Refer to the table listed in this practical experience evaluation to determine the minimum requirements for each anatomic area.

THE STUDENT MUST ATTAIN A MINIMUM OF 80% COMPETENCY TO RECEIVE CREDIT.

PEER POSITIONING (60- Points Maximum For This Section)	POSSIBLE POINTS	SCORE
A. PREPARATION (10- points possible)		
1.) Identified the positions done for the exam.	5	
2.) Identified the reason for the exam.	5	
B. PATIENT INSTRUCTION (9- points possible)		
1.) Explained exam to “patient” in clear, concise manner.	3	
2.) Gave proper positioning instructions.	3	
3.) Gave proper breathing instructions.	3	
C. POSITIONING (15- points possible)		
1.) Positioned correctly the areas to be demonstrated.	5	
2.) Aligned center of part to be demonstrated to center of image receptor.	5	
3.) Used the correct angle (entrance or exit) of the central ray.	5	
D. EQUIPMENT MANIPULATION (7- points possible)		
1.) Handled equipment in a safe manner and used tube locks correctly.	3	
2.) Selected cassette size pertinent to the examination.	4	
E. RADIATION PROTECTION (10- points possible)		
1.) Appropriate collimation was used.	5	
2.) Gonadal shielding was used if appropriate.	5	
F. MARKERS & IDENTIFICATION (9- points possible)		
1.) Correct markers, i.e. right or left, were used.	3	
2.) Markers were correctly positioned on the image receptor (same direction as patient, same direction as image receptor will be read and out of area to be demonstrated.)	3	
3.) Used identifying markers when appropriate (decubitus post reduction, upright, etc.)	3	

IMAGE RECEPTOR CRITIQUE (40- Points Maximum for This Section)	POSSIBLE POINTS	SCORE
--	------------------------	--------------

A. TECHNICAL EVALUATION (15- points possible)		
1.) The student was able to determine whether the image receptor was of acceptable quality. (If the image receptor was not of the best quality, the student was able to describe how to improve the image receptor quality.)	5	
2.) The student provided the exposure factors used to achieve the radiographs. These factors were appropriate for the exam.	5	
3.) The student was able to explain how mAs and kVp will affect the radiograph and the radiation exposure to the patient.	5	
B. PATIENT POSITIONING (25- points possible)		
1.) The student was able to determine whether the patient was positioned. (If the patient's position could be improved, the student was able to describe how.)	5	
2.) The image receptor and the identification markers were correctly placed.	5	
3.) Appropriate collimation was used and gonadal shielding was used if appropriate.	5	
4.) The student was able to identify anatomical parts on the radiograph.	5	
5.) The student was able to explain what the radiograph should demonstrate.	5	

Image Receptor Critique Score (above) _____
Peer Positioning Score (first page) _____
Total Score (both pages) _____

Evaluator's Comment: _____

<p>Student Sign-Off: I have read this evaluation and the evaluator has discussed it with me.</p> <p>_____</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Student Signature</i></p>	<p>Evaluator Sign-Off: I have discussed this evaluation with the student and verify that the student understands it.</p> <p>_____</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Evaluator Signature</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>OBMI No.</i></p>
--	--