Reynolds Stewarding Body Meeting

March 20, 2025 4:00- 6:00

Attendees: Wei-Wei, Angie, Vilay, Laura, Cayle, Samarra, Rutila, Gustavo, Chelsea, Frank

1. Welcome & Connection

- a. Acknowledgment
- b. Community Agreements
- c. Agenda Check & Framing

2. Updates

- a. RSD Updates
 - i. 03.20.2025 Budget Update
 - ii. AIR Report: Evaluation of Oregon's Quality Education Model
- b. <u>HB 2009</u> | <u>SB 141</u>
 - Referred to as the "Accountability Bill" and it directly calls out the Intensive Program – as people connected to the Intensive Program you might be contacted for questions and/or comments

3. Recommendation Bundle

- a. A bundle...
 - i. What? Develop a bundle of recommendations to align with the current budget cycle and that attends to the additional allocation near the end of the Intensive Program partnership.
 - ii. Why? Taking this process through individual recommendations, with limited time in the Intensive Program remaining, could leave funds on the table that could support students, staff, and community in RSD.
- b. Frame the Recommendation
 - i. What it is: A package of recommendations that name the who/what/milestones/approx. budget
 - ii. What it is NOT: A full implementation plan of each recommendation; set in stone. There may be decision points that need to be addressed in implementation planning that won't be addressed here.

c. Process

- i. Round 1: Clarifying Questions about Concepts
 - 1. Highlight the Heart of the Recommendation (Overview)
 - 2. Hold on the remaining text
 - 3. Clarifying Questions and comments:
 - a. Primary Education Assistants:
 - This can be a short term solution to the school readiness challenge the district is facing (and we still need to be thinking about a long term solution)

- b. Literacy Supports K-12:
 - i. Pt 2: Is there a way to expand the PD and budget for teachers to collaborate on how to best use this tool to support learning? How can we make sure the money spent on a technical tool is being used effectively and strategically to deliver on literacy learning?
 - Calendar and contracted agreement does not have room for more PD – the cost would be associated with hiring subs (and the difficulty finding subs)
 - On the job training through late start time and peer assistant will be implemented by building principals
 - 3. CORE meetings with PLCs and grade level plans can be used as instruction from the principals
 - 4. The 10k built in is for two 2hr trainings will focus on how to, when to, and the purpose of using the smart boards
- c. PD: Family & Community:
 - i. Does it include training for parents as well?
 - 1. Parents and community members are part of the PD but it is focused on training leadership
 - ii. Under the impression that there would training for parents on how to engage and understand
 - Project based PD to improve student attendance – principals, admin, parents will engage in a professional learning conversation
 - 2. Adult learning
 - iii. Clarity around welcome and accessibility
- d. Teacher Evaluation and observation:
 - i. No clarifying questions
- e. Newcomer support system:
 - Appreciate possibly using funding to create welcoming environments in connection with the Community Engagement Recommendation
 - ii. With being in March is there enough time to pilot a newcomer summer school?
 - 1. Suggestion came from the directors
 - Feasible because there is a plan for a summer program – this would be an addition working with the preexisting summer program
 - 3. Will the summer school funds be about \$1m?
 - a. Yes that is the ballpark
 - iii. What is the Fall plan? And what is the task force creating and piloting by September? What will it look like in schools?
 - In talking with teachers and TOSAs there is a feeling that Reynolds needs a newcomers

- support system where they can be housed in one school and relook at the DOME system
- Work out transportation to allow students to receive newcomer support at a different school
- iv. This feels like a big lift for the Fall of '25
 - 1. The current newcomer guide is outdated
- ii. Round 2: Temperature Check/Quick Consensus Checkon Highlighted Heart
 - 1. Consensus Based Decision Making Guide
 - a. Primary Education Assistants: six votes of 4 and one vote of 2
 - Proposal to extend the recommendation beyond 30 days to 6-8 weeks and increase the the allocated funds
 - ii. This would be in collaboration with working with the sub system (need to check on the time in which they become probationary employees)
 - b. Primary Education Assistants Revote: seven votes of 4
 - c. Literacy Supports K-12: six votes of 4 and one vote of 2
 - i. Proposal: increase the budget to \$400,000 with a focus on PD for how to use the smart boards to support the learning of our multilingual learners
 - ii. Would like to see this connect to the approved recommendation for Early Literacy – add an allowable use to connect it to the Early Literacy Teacher Leader recommendation
 - d. Literacy Supports K-12 revote: seven votes of 4
 - e. PD: Family & Community: three votes of 4, two votes of 5, one vote of 3
 - f. Teacher Evaluation and observation: Three votes of 5, three votes of 4, one vote of 3
 - g. Newcomer support system: seven votes of 4
 - Added Proposal #6 around a discretionary fund for the remaining portion of the additional allocation to best support in the current fiscal environment
 - a. It's not a large sum, so leave it to the Superintendent and Financial team to determine best use. They will share back at another meeting how monies will be used.
- iii. Round 3: Final Consensus
 - 1. 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5

d. Next Steps:

- i. Implementation Planning
- ii. How to spend the remaining funds?
 - 1. Staffing
 - 2. Facilities
 - 3. Leave it to the budget team
- iii. April Meeting