



2026-27 Juvenile Justice Education Fund Appeal Results Summary

All appeals have been reviewed, and the results have been finalized.

There were five appeal elements with up to four points available per element, per scorer with the exception of element three where a flat score was provided to each applicant. A flat score was provided on element three because we understand that all sites are serving historically underserved populations.

The final allocations were determined by distributing the \$400,000 proportionally based on scores, so each share reflects its weight relative to the total: $\{(Individual\ score / total\ points) \times 400,000\}$. This methodology preserves the exact relative differences between scores.

Five scored Elements:

(E1) Number of students being provided services: Does actual service demand materially exceed what the base classroom formula supports? (Impactful variance vs. simple growth)
(E2) Characteristics of the facility where the education services will be provided, including the number of classrooms required to provide educational services: Do facility conditions materially increase staffing or classroom needs beyond the standard model? (structural burden vs. inconvenience)
(E3) The population of youths to be provided educational services, including the number and percentage of youths who are from historically underserved populations: Do population characteristics require sustained additional staffing or programmatic supports? (service implications vs. demographics alone)
(E4) Number of students qualifying for special education and related services: Do service intensity and caseload demands exceed what base funding supports? (specific programming vs. standard compliance)
(E5) Level of transition supports provided to students: Do transition expectations materially expand staffing or program scope? (specific staffing and programming vs. aspirations or standard-level compliance)

Appeal Results:

JDEP/YCEP Grantee	Total Requested	E 1*	E 2*	E 3*	E 4*	E 5*	Total Score	Total Appeal Award
MESD Alliance	\$85,020.00	9	12	10	12	15	58	\$62,533.69
Mill Creek Academy	\$350,000.00	6	7	10	0	0	23	\$24,797.84
John Servu Youth Campus, Lane County	\$196,428.00	11	15	10	13	12	61	\$65,768.19
Lord High School	\$400,000.00	12	16	10	15	13	66	\$71,159.03
Donald E. Long	\$341,488.28	13	12	10	13	11	59	\$63,611.86
Baker Creek	\$109,257.79	11	10	10	10	11	52	\$56,064.69
Trask River School	\$107,154.00	8	8	10	12	14	52	\$56,064.69

*E1-E5 are the five scorable elements detailed on page one of this document, the columns below represent the total points received for that element by submission.