Priority Area 1: Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)





To the maximum extent appropriate, children with disabilities, including children in public or private institutions or other care facilities, are educated with children who are nondisabled...

The purpose of this priority area is to ensure that placement decisions are individualized and determined in accordance with IDEA regulations. It aims to assess whether students experiencing disabilities are educated in settings that allow them to be included to the greatest extent appropriate while receiving the necessary supports. LEAs must document that placement decisions are made based on each student's individual needs and not solely on factors such as disability category, availability of special education services, or administrative convenience. In making these determinations, the team considers the potential benefits and possible detrimental effects of placement options and strives to support the student's participation in the general education environment whenever possible.

To comply with these requirements, LEAs must ensure that students experiencing disabilities have access to the general education curriculum and are provided with appropriate supplementary aids and services. These supports should be designed to facilitate the student's success in the least restrictive environment. The placement process is designed to be collaborative, involving input from educators, parents, and, when appropriate, the student.

This review process provides LEAs with the opportunity to examine their practices and make necessary adjustments to support the inclusion of students experiencing disabilities. The outcomes of these reviews should help schools and districts review and improve their placement practices, increase support for high quality inclusion, improve educational outcomes for students experiencing disabilities, and ensure compliance with IDEA regulations.

By using this protocol, the LEA will respond to a series of questions to explore whether it is meeting critical components related to least restrictive environment. If noncompliance is found in this area, it is recommended that the LEA correct these findings as soon as possible. In all cases, noncompliance must be corrected no more than one year from the ODE's written notification. Additionally, when noncompliance could cause a student to be denied 10 or more instructional days, the LEA must correct according to the shortened timeline outlined in OAR 581-015-2015.

LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (LRE)

RECORD REVIEW ITEM: LRE-1 - 34 CFR § 300.116(a)

Record Review Item	Potential Documentation					
Placement Determined by Knowledgeable Group: The placement decision was made by a group of individuals, including the parents and other individuals knowledgeable about: • the student; • the meaning of evaluation data; and • placement options	 Special Education Placement Determination Prior Written Notice Notice of Team Meeting, showing parent invitation Records of LEA attempts to secure parent attendance at IEP meeting where placement was determined Meeting notes 					
Evidence of Compliance						
□YES	□NO					
Mark YES if there is evidence that any of the following are true:	Mark NO if there is evidence that any of the following are true:					
 the placement decision was made by a knowledgeable group, including the parent, with individuals clearly identified and documented as having knowledge about the student, evaluation data, and placement options; the placement decision was made by a knowledgeable group, with individuals clearly identified and documented as having knowledge about the student, evaluation data, and placement options. The parent was invited to participate in the meeting, but did not attend, and this is clearly documented. 	 the placement decision was not made by a knowledgeable group; the parent was not invited to the meeting. 					

RECORD REVIEW ITEM: LRE-2 - 34 CFR § 300.116(b)

nondisabled unless the IEP requires

Note: Special factors or justifications requiring a more restrictive placement decision may be considered as evidence of compliance if there is also evidence that the above factors were

another arrangement.

considered.

Record Review Item **Potential Documentation Meeting Notes Placement Decision:** IEP – Present levels of academic The file's documentation demonstrates that achievement and functional the student's placement was: performance, Specially Designed Instruction, Related Services and/or determined annually, at a minimum; Special Factors, Nonparticipation based on the student's needs as Justification, demographic page indicated in the IEP; • Student Information System showing as close as possible to the student's resident school and attending school • Documentation of parent choice if resulted in the student being educated the student is not attending their in the school that they would attend if resident school due to parent choice nondisabled unless the IEP requires • Other relevant information used to another arrangement. make placement decisions during the **IEP** process Special Education Placement Determination **Evidence of Compliance** ☐ YES Mark YES if the evidence indicates that Mark NO if the evidence indicates that placement decisions are made in conformity either of the following are true: with all of the LRE provisions below: placement decisions are **not** made in determined annually, at a minimum; conformity with any of the required • based on the student's needs as LRE provisions as listed above; indicated in the IEP; placement decision is **not** supported by information within the student's as close as possible to the student's IEP. home; and resulted in the student being educated in the school that they would attend if

RECORD REVIEW ITEM: LRE-3 - 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(4)

Record Review Item		Potential Documentation				
Accommodations and Modification Included and Aligned with Present The IEP documents appropriate accommodations and modification on the student's needs, enabling be involved and make progress in general education curriculum and participate in extracurricular and academic activities with peers.	ns based the child to the	 IEP – Present levels of academic achievement and functional performance IEP – Service summary Evaluation results Meeting notes Progress reports 				
Evidence of Compliance	1					
 ☐ YES Mark YES if there is evidence that all the following are true: the accommodations and modifications were reviewed annually; and the accommodations and modifications documented in the IEP are based on the needs of the student and align with the student's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance. 	that any of true: • the and not of the and	f there is evidence f the following are accommodations d modifications were t reviewed annually; accommodations d modifications are esent, but they are t based on the needs the student and do t align with the dent's present levels academic nievement and actional performance; a IEP team did not asider commodations and difications for this dent.	Mark N/A if the evidence indicates the IEP team considered the need for accommodations and modifications at least annually, and determined they are not needed at this time based on the student's needs as identified in the present levels of academic achievement and functional performance.			

RECORD REVIEW ITEM: LRE-4 - 34 CFR § 300.324(a)(2)(i-v)

Record Review Item

Special Factors Addressed:

The IEP team considered special factors including:

- the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and other strategies, to address the behavior of a student whose behavior impedes their learning or the learning of others;
- the language needs of a child with limited English proficiency, as those needs relate to the child's IEP;
- the use of Braille in the case of a child who is blind or visually impaired unless the IEP team determines, after an evaluation of the child's reading and writing skills, needs, and appropriate reading and writing media (including an evaluation of the child's future needs for instruction in Braille or the use of Braille), that instruction in Braille or the use of Braille is not appropriate for the child;
- the communication needs of the child, and in the case of a child who is deaf or hard of hearing, consider the child's language and communication needs, opportunities for direct communications with peers and professional personnel in the child's language and communication mode, academic level, and full range of needs, including opportunities for direct instruction in the child's language and communication mode;
- whether the child needs assistive technology devices and services.

Potential Documentation

- IEP Present levels of academic achievement and functional performance
- IEP Special factors
- IEP Service summary
- Meeting notes
- Functional Behavioral Assessment/Behavior Intervention Plan
- Evaluation reports

Evidence of Compliance

☐ YES

Mark **YES** if there is evidence that **all** the following are true:

- considered the existence of special factors;
- that special factors apply to this student;
 and
- appropriately addressed the applicable special factors in the student's IEP.

Mark **NO** if there is evidence that any of the following are true:

- the IEP team did not consider one or more required special factors;
- the IEP team
 considered all required
 special factors,
 determined that one or
 more special factors
 applied to this student,
 and did not
 appropriately address
 the applicable special
 factors in the student's
 IEP.

☐ **NOT APPLICABLE**

Mark **N/A** if the evidence indicates the IEP team considered the existence of special factors and determined the special factors do not apply for this student (e.g., addressed each special factor by indicating "no" on all), and the record demonstrates that the decision was based on the needs of the student and aligns with the student's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance.

RECORD REVIEW ITEM: LRE-5 - 34 CFR § 300.116 & 300.320(a)(5)

Potential Documentation Record Review Item • Special Education Placement Placement in Least Restrictive Determination **Environment:** Nonparticipation justification The placement determination documents Prior Written Notice that the student with a disability was • IEP – Present levels of academic removed from the regular educational achievement and functional environment only if the nature or severity of performance the disability was such that education in • IEP – Service summary regular classes with the use of • Evaluation reports supplementary aids and services could not be Meeting notes achieved satisfactorily including documentation of the following elements: • clearly identifies the selected and/or rejected placement(s), including consideration of each lesser restrictive placements than the one selected; • the placement options included the consideration of meeting the student's needs in the general education classroom; the use of supplementary aids and services to maintain the student in a lesser restrictive environment; a justification is given for the decision to educate a child in a more restrictive environment; and • the potential harmful effects of rejected and selected placement(s) on the student and whether it would impede the ability of the student or other children to learn. **Evidence of Compliance** \square NO ☐ YES Mark **YES** if there is evidence that either of Mark **NO** if there is evidence that any of the the following are true (must meet all criteria following are true: under **A or B** to mark "yes"):

- A. The student is educated or served in the general education setting, **OR**
- B. If the student is not educated or served in general education, the IEP includes justification as to why the student's placement is not the general education classroom **and** there is evidence of **all** the following:
 - the placement is based on the needs of the student;
 - placement documentation reflects that the team has given consideration to meeting the student's needs in the general education classroom;
 - the placement options considered to maintain the student in a less restrictive option identify the specific supplementary aids and services for each option;
 - if the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in general education classes, even with the use of supplementary aids and services, cannot be achieved satisfactorily, a justification is given for the decision; and
 - describes potential harmful effects to the student or others, if applicable.

- the placement is **not** based on the student's needs:
- placement documentation does not reflect that the team has given consideration to meeting the student's needs in the general education classroom;
- the placement documentation does not reflect consideration or the provision of supplementary aids and services in the general education classroom individualized to each placement option considered;
- the placement options considered to maintain the student in a less restrictive option do not identify the specific supplementary aids and services for each option (e.g. indicates "See IEP accommodations." for all options considered when student not placed in 100% general education);
- the student is not educated or served in general education, but the IEP does not include justification as to why the student's placement is not the general education classroom;
- does not describe potential harmful effects to the student or others, if applicable.

RECORD REVIEW ITEM: LRE-6 - 34 CFR § 300.116(e)

Record Review Item		Potential Documentation				
Removal Not Solely Due to Modifications: The student was not removed from education in age-appropriate general education classrooms solely because of needed modifications in the general education curriculum.		 IEP – Present levels of academic achievement and functional performance IEP – Service summary Student schedule Service trackers/logs Observation report 				
Evidence of Compliance						
□ YES	□ №		□ NOT APPLICABLE			
Mark YES if the evidence indicates the student was not removed from general education classrooms just because the curriculum needed to be modified.	Mark NO if the indicates the stremoved from education class age-appropriation to the new modifications	student was n general ssrooms with ate peers only ed for curricular	Mark N/A if the evidence indicates the student was no removed from classrooms with age-appropriate peers or does not require modifications.			

RECORD REVIEW ITEM: LRE-7 - 34 CFR § 300.320(a)(4)(i-iii)

Record Review Item	Potential Documentation
Participation in Non-academic and Extracurricular Services and Activities: The student participates with nondisabled peers in non-academic and extracurricular services and activities (including lunch, recess, athletics, clubs, afterschoolsponsored activities/events, etc.) to the maximum extent appropriate, with the use of supplementary aids and services as determined by the student's IEP Team to be appropriate and necessary.	 IEP – Present levels of academic achievement and functional performance IEP – Service summary IEP – Nonparticipation justification Student schedule Observation notes or report Meeting notes
Evidence of Compliance	
☐ YES Mark YES if the evidence indicates the student is included in non-academic and extracurricular services and activities and has access to aids and services during extracurriculars as determined necessary by the IEP team.	□ NO Mark NO if there is evidence the student is excluded from non-academic and extracurricular services and activities and the team did not provide a rationale for this exclusion.

RECORD REVIEW ITEM: LRE-8 - 34 CFR § 300.116

Record Review Item	Potential Documentation				
Placement Consistent with Individual Need: The selected placement is consistent with the level of services and supports detailed in the service summary and the non-participation justification statement.	 IEP – Present levels of academic achievement and functional performance IEP – Service summary IEP – Nonparticipation justification Placement determination 				
Evidence of Compliance					
□ YES					
Mark YES if the evidence indicates the selected placement is consistent with the level of services and supports described in the IEP service summary, non-participation justification statement, other section of the IEP, or special education placement determination.	Mark NO if the evidence indicates the selected placement is not consistent with the level of services and supports described in the IEP service summary, non-participation justification statement, other section of the IEP, or special education placement determination.				

Individual Student File Review Form

		Student Information			
Student	Name:				
Student	SSID:				
Student	DOB:				
Student	Disability:				
Grade:	·				
IEP Start	Date:				
IEP End [Date:				
		LRE			
LRE 1	Placement Deteri	mined by Knowledgeable Group	Υ		N
LRE 2	Placement Decisi		Υ		N
LRE 3	Accommodations	and Modifications Included and Aligned with	Υ	N	N/A
	Summary of Pres				,
LRE 4	Special Factors A		Υ	N	N/A
LRE 5		st Restrictive Environment	Υ		N
LRE 6	Removal Not Sole	ely Due to Modifications	Υ	N	N/A
LRE 7 Participation in Non-academic and Extracurricular Services and					N
Activities					
LRE 8					N
		Comments			

NFOR	MATIO	1									
LEA Name:						Require	d Sample S	Size:			
otal nu	umber o	f stu	ıdent file	s reviewed	d is indicat	ted below	·.				
-		High Sch Students	gh School Out of Dis- udents Placement					d Record			
evide		not	found. E	•						nd. Enter "I d student. I	
LRE-	1	LRE	E-2	LRE-3	LRE-4	LI	RE-5	LRE-6		LRE-7	LRE-
	npliance		-					=		l or system trict review	

SSID

LRE Focus Group Questions:

	LRE	School/District Staff	Parents	Students	Community
•	What story does our district's LRE data tell?	X	X	X	X
•	What are our district's/programs' inclusion success stories?	X	X	X	X
•	What patterns exist within our student population (e.g., race, ethnicity, disability, gender, and grade), school sites and IEP team decisions regarding LRE?	Х	X	X	X
•	How well are student and parent perspectives included in LRE decisions?	X	X	X	X
•	How does our district's staffing and staff practices relate to our LRE data?	X	X	X	X
•	What investments have we made, or should we consider making to support our district's implementation of inclusive practices?	Х	X	X	
•	What are the systemic changes, personnel growth and professional learning, and supports for students needed to increase inclusion in our system (e.g., UDL)?	X	X	Х	X