Pre-Operations Report

 Operation Name: Sappy
 Tax Code(s): 5600

 County (%): Tillamook (62%), Washington (38%)
 BOF%: 100
 CSL%: 0

Elevation: 1,188 - 2,669 ft Sale Quarter: 3

Legal Description: T2N, R6W, Section 6; T2N, R7W, Section 1; T3N, R6W, Section 31; T3N, R7W,

Section 36

I. VOLUME AND VALUE SUMMARY

Table 1. Types, Acres, and Value

	Harvest	Anticipated	Gross	Net	MBF/	MBF/		
Unit	Type	Product ^c	Acres	Acres	Acrea	Unita	\$/MBF ^b	\$/Unit
1	CC	DF-M, WH-S	60	52	30.0	1,560	\$410	\$639,600
2	CC	DF-M, WH-S	51	40	30.0	1,200	\$410	\$492,000
3	CC	DF-M, WH-S	109	87	30.0	2,610	\$410	\$1,070,100
Total		Regeneration	221	180		F 270		
		Partial Cut				5,370		
							Value Value	\$2,201,700
a. Estimated harvest volume per acre for Unit.						Projec	et Costs	\$446,615
b. Estimated 'price' (excluding Project Costs)					Net	Value	\$1,755,085	
c. Anticipated Product (AA-B-C) – AA) SLI species code of the bid species, B) Size Class (S – small [average DBH < 15], M –								

c. Anticipated Product (AA-B-C) – AA) SLI species code of the bid species, B) Size Class (S – small [average DBH < 15], M – medium [average DBH 15 to 23], L – large (average DBH > 23]), C) Special Product (P – Premium, H – Hardwood)

II. CURRENT STAND CONDITION:

Table 2. Stand Inventory Information

	Stand	Measured/							Net
Unit	ID	Imputeda	Species	Age	TPA	DBH	BA	SDI	Acresb
1	7468	M	DF, WH	87	176	17	274	68%	28
1	7499	M	DF, WH	85	171	15	207	54%	24
2	7468	M	DF, WH	88	176	17	274	68%	3
2	7475	M	DF, WH	88	170	18	303	73%	9
2	7562	M	DF, WH	68	166	14	167	45%	13
2	8309	M	DF, WH	86	106	17	165	41%	16
3	7530	I = 7485	DF, WH	88	232	15	265	70%	9
3	7531	I = 7533	WH, DF	83	308	13	291	80%	20
3	8309	M	DF, WH	86	106	17	165	41%	58

Identify the source of stand inventory information. Use the following codes: M = Measured SLI data, I = Imputed SLI data, P = Pre-Cruise Plots, O = other (if other, describe below).

• These stands were planted and portions of Units 1, 2, & 3 were commercially thinned in 2003.

b. Net Acres have been rounded to the nearest whole acre in this table. Stand that comprise less than one acre of a harvest unit are not reported in this table, so the total "Net Acres" per unit in this table may not equal the total "Net Acres" per unit in table 1.

Table 3. Additional Stand Information

	Stand	Snags/	Down Wood/		Forest Health	
Unit	ID	Acrea	Acreb	SNC	Phellinus	Other ^c
1	7468	4	131			
1	7499	1	343			
2	7468	4	131			
2	7475	6	232			
2	7562	0	544			
2	8309	7	397			
3	7530	5	678			
3	7531	3	315			
3	8309	7	397			

a. Identify the number of hard snags per acre (decay classes 1 and 2)

III. WILDLIFE AND T&E SPECIES CONSIDERATIONS:

Foresters need to request the Biological Survey Tracking Form (BSTF) from the ODF Wildlife Biologist prior to sale layout in order to ensure all T&E related information is complete and understood.

1.	A portion of the operation is within (Check all that apply): ☐ TAS ☐ NSO Circle or Home Range, or Baseline or Elevated Baseline Thiessen (BA required) ☐ MMMA (BA required) ☐ None
2.	Are Surveys for NSO being conducted for any portion of this operation? □ No ☒ Density Surveys □ Operational Surveys □ Combination (Density/Operational) Notes:
3.	Are Surveys for MM being conducted for any portion of this operation? ☐ Yes (in progress/completed) ☐ No (Not habitat) ☐ N/A (outside of MM survey zone) Notes:
4.	Are there any additional considerations (FPA Resource Sites, Species of Concern sites/Plant [from ORBIC¹])? ☑ No ☐ Yes, please describe:

b. Identify the cubic feet per acre of hard down wood (decay classes 1 and 2)

c. Describe "Other" forest health issue.

¹ Oregon Biodiversity Information Center Forest Grove District Approved - June 2025

IV. DESIRED FUTURE CONDITION AND PRESCRIPTION:

Table 4. Stand Structure Information

Unit	Stand ID	Current	Desired Future ^b	Inside of HCA	Net Acresa
1	7468	UDS	GEN	No	28
1	7499	LYR	GEN	No	24
2	7468	UDS	GEN	No	3
2	7475	UDS	GEN	No	9
2	7562	UDS	GEN	No	13
2	8309	LYR	GEN	No	16
3	7530	LYR	GEN	No	9
3	7531	LYR	GEN	No	20
3	8309	LYR	GEN	No	58

Net Acres have been rounded to the nearest whole acre in this table. Stand that comprise less than one acre of a harvest unit are

Table 5. Partial Cut & HCA Prescriptions (Complete only for Partial Cut and HCA Harvests)

		Harvest	Residua		dual	
Unit	Harvest Type	Species	Species	TPA	BA	% SDI
1	CC					
2	CC					
3	CC					

- Leave Tree Considerations: Foresters will work with Wildlife Biologist during sale layout. The following should be considered when determining final leave tree arrangements.
 - Stand Characteristics: Prioritize larger diameter trees within each unit as part of the retention strategy. Unit 3 has a few large diameter trees near the RCA and on the northeastern portion of the unit.
- **Reforestation Considerations:** Following the completion of harvest, the unit will be planted with a mixture of species native to the geographic area.

V. HARVESTING AND ACCESS CONSIDERATIONS:

Table 6. Harvest System and Access Summary

	Harvest	System		Unit	Seasonal
Unit	% Cable	% Ground	Slope (%)	Access	Access
1	100	0	>65	Simple	All Weather
2	100	0	>65	Simple	All Weather
3	100	0	>65	Simple	All Weather

1. Haul Route:

- Standard Grade Road to Storey Burn Road to Highway 6
- This sale is behind a locked gate.
- 2. Haul Route Condition:
 - The haul route will require additional surfacing rock, ditch cleaning and, in isolated spots, road widening.

not reported in this table, so the total "Net Acres" per unit in this table may not equal the total "Net Acres" per unit in table 1. While desired future condition complex (Layered -LYR and Older Forest Structure – OFS) is mapped, targets for Regeneration, Closed Single Canopy and Understory stands are not. These stand types are typically referred to as General (GEN) when discussing desired future condition.

 \square No 3. Are easements required for the haul route? \boxtimes Yes Temporary Road Use Agreement needed with Hampton for all in-sale spurs. Permeant easement, 311.37004, for Standard Grade Road to Storey Burn Road. Table 7. Transportation Management Summary (Miles) Activity Mainline **Rocked Spur** Dirt Spur Collector Construct 0.14 0 Improve, Rock, and/or 0 0 7.5 8.7 Maintain Vacate 0 0 0.28 0 Stream Crossings: install on existing road (IE)/replace on existing road (R)/install on new construction road (NC) Type F - SSBTa Type F – Non-SSBT 0 0 0 0 Type N 0 0 0 0 Salmon Steelhead and Bull Trout (SSBT) Opportunities to vacate or block new or existing roads and/or spurs will be considered during sale layout. 4. Rock Sources for this operation: Bathtub Quarry 5. Are property line surveys required for this operation? \square Yes \boxtimes No South boundary Sale Area 1 was posted by Harold Russel in 1975 per Tillamook County Survey # B0689, there may be visible blazes remaining on trees on the state side of the boundary. South Boundary of area 3 was posted by Leslie Keenon in 1998 per Washington County Survey #27527. there may be visible blazes remaining on trees on the State side of the boundary. 6. Is there planned new road construction planned within RCAs/HCAs? ☐ Yes VI. AQUATIC RESOURCES: 1. Do any streams require additional review for the following? ☐ Yes Fish presence ⊠ No Perennial/Seasonal:


No ⊠ Yes H.E.R.: □ No ⊠ Yes There are streams within the sale that require additional review. Buffers shown on the map indicate where it is believed streams are located. These streams will be located, verified for permanence, and/or type of seasonal stream during sale layout and Geotech review and buffered as required. 2. Is a portion of the operation within an Aquatic Anchor? \square No ☑ Yes, name: South Fork Salmonberry River

Within the designated Aquatic Anchor streams will be buffered in accordance with strategies in the draft Habitat Conservation Plan that prioritize salmonid recovery as outlined in the State Forest Division Species of Concern Policy. These additional buffers are already incorporated into the sale buffers and are shown on the map. If additional information on streams is found during sale layout

the buffers will be adjusted as required.

3.	Are any domestic points of diversion identified in the Oregon Water Resource Department's water rights information search GIS database located downstream within 3,000 feet of the harvest operation? No Yes, describe protection measures:
4.	, 0
	within the harvest operation? ☒ No ☐ Yes, please describe:
5.	Is there a Stream Enhancement Project planned? ⊠ No ☐ Yes, please describe:
	I. SLOPE STABILITY ISSUES:
la	Unit Harvest Public Safety Additional Comment Review Review
	Complete Complete
	1 Yes Yes -
	2 Yes Yes - 3 Yes Yes -
	• Geotech Review: Geotechnical reviews have been completed and slope protections have been
1. IX. 1.	Specialists will be done during sale layout as needed. II.RECREATION RESOURCES: Recreation issues/coordination: □ No □ Yes, please describe: I. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL RESOURCES: Has the sale been reviewed by a qualified archaeologist for potential historic or cultural resource presence? □ Yes □ No I. SCENIC RESOURCES: Are there scenic resources in the vicinity of this operation that need additional consideration? □ No □ Yes, please describe:
ΧI	. OTHER RESOURCE CONSIDERATIONS:
1.	Has a review of the FLMCS layer determined that any resources not mentioned in the report above need additional planning? ☒ No ☐ Yes, describe below
2.	Are there any other resources present that need additional consideration? \square No \boxtimes Yes, please describe:
	 Adjacent Landowners (shared property lines): Private Industrial:
	 District staff will work with adjacent private industrial landowner to determine what additional outreach is needed during the sale process and if any additional restrictions are needed.
	• Boundary – Unit 2 includes a portion of the Green Tree Retention Area associated with the adjacent timber sale which was part of the 2003 Annual Operations Plan. An analysis was completed to

determine the total number of Green Trees retained with the 2003 Annual Operations Plan. It was determined that more than 5 trees per acre were left across all timber sales in the 2003 Annual Operations Plan – 2,010 Green Trees were required and approximately 5,016 were retained. During sale layout, Foresters will confirm that the number of trees included in Unit 2 will not decrease the 2003 AOP total number of Green Trees below 2,010.



Legend

Sale Boundary

♦ Unit Boundary

No Harvest Area

Road Buffer, New Construction

New Road Construction

vvvvv Planned Road Vacating

Surfaced Roads

= = = = Unsurfaced Roads

Perennial Fish Streams

Perennial Non-Fish Streams

--- Seasonal Streams

Ownership Boundary

FY 2026 - Forest Grove District Sappy

Portions of Section 31, T3N, R6W,
Portions of Section 6, T2N, R6W, W.M.
Washington County
Portions of Section 36, T3N, R7W,
Portions of Section 1, T2N, R7W, W.M.

Tillamook County
State Forest Division
06/13/2025

This product is for informational use and may not be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. Variations may exist between and among data sets in use by the Department of Forestry. This map was developed using the Statewide Stream Layer.

1:12,000 1,000 500 0

0 1,000 Feet

Unit 1 52 Acres (CC)

Unit 2 40 Acres (CC)

Unit 3 87 Acres (CC)

Total 180 Acres

