

Pre-Operations Report

Operation Name: Sidewinder
County (%): Washington (100%)
Elevation: 1,273 - 2,322 ft
Legal Description: T1S, R6W, Sections 4, 9

Tax Code(s):
BOF%: 100 **CSL%:** 0
Sale Quarter: 3

I. VOLUME AND VALUE SUMMARY

Table 1. Types, Acres, and Value

Unit	Harvest Type	Anticipated Product ^c	Gross Acres	Net Acres	MBF/ Acre ^a	MBF/ Unit ^a	\$/MBF ^b	\$/Unit
1	CC	DF-M, RA-S	124	102	31.0	3,162	\$375	\$1,185,750
Total		Regeneration	124	102		3,162		
		Partial Cut						
						Gross Value		\$1,185,750
a. Estimated harvest volume per acre for Unit.						Project Costs		\$211,160
b. Estimated ‘price’ (excluding Project Costs)						Net Value		\$974,590
c. Anticipated Product (AA-B-C) – AA) SLI species code of the bid species, B) Size Class (S – small [average DBH < 15], M – medium [average DBH 15 to 23], L – large (average DBH > 23]), C) Special Product (P – Premium, H – Hardwood)								

II. CURRENT STAND CONDITION:

Table 2. Stand Inventory Information

Unit	Stand ID	Measured/Imputed ^a	Species	Age	TPA	DBH	BA	SDI	Net Acres ^b
1	8055	M	DF, RA	73	82	19	166	39%	89
1	8063	M	DF, RA	62	159	15	201	52%	5
1	8142	M	DF, RA	53	210	14	221	59%	8

a. Identify the source of stand inventory information. Use the following codes: M = Measured SLI data, I = Imputed SLI data, P = Pre-Cruise Plots, O = other (if other, describe below).

b. Net Acres have been rounded to the nearest whole acre in this table. Stand that comprise less than one acre of a harvest unit are not reported in this table, so the total "Net Acres" per unit in this table may not equal the total "Net Acres" per unit in table 1.

- These stands were planted and portions of the stands within this timber sale were commercially thinned in 2007.

Table 3. Additional Stand Information

Unit	Stand ID	Snags/Acre ^a	Down Wood/Acre ^b	Forest Health		
				SNC	Phellinus	Other ^c
1	8055	3	371			
1	8063	4	591			
1	8142	7	449			

a. Identify the number of hard snags per acre (decay classes 1 and 2)

b. Identify the cubic feet per acre of hard down wood (decay classes 1 and 2)

c. Describe "Other" forest health issue.

III. WILDLIFE AND T&E SPECIES CONSIDERATIONS:

Foresters need to read the Biological Survey Tracking Form (BSTF) prior to sale layout in order to ensure all T&E related information is understood. (Consult the ODF Wildlife Biologist assigned to the District for the BSTF.)

1. A portion of the operation is within (Check all that apply):

☐ TAS ☐ NSO Circle or Home Range, or Baseline or Elevated Baseline Thiessen (BA required)
☐ MMMA (BA required) ☒ None

2. Are Surveys for NSO being conducted for any portion of this operation?

☐ No ☒ Density Surveys ☐ Operational Surveys ☐ Combination (Density/Operational)

Notes:

3. Are Surveys for MM being conducted for any portion of this operation?

☐ Yes (in progress/completed) ☒ No (Not habitat) ☐ N/A (outside of MM survey zone)

Notes:

4. Are there any additional considerations (FPA Resource Sites, Species of Concern sites/Plant [from ORBIC¹], big game habitat/management, other)?

☒ No ☐ Yes, please describe:

IV. DESIRED FUTURE CONDITION AND PRESCRIPTION:

Table 4. Stand Structure Information

Unit	Stand ID	Current	Desired Future	Inside of HCA	Net Acres ^a
1	8055	UDS	GEN	No	89
1	8063	UDS	GEN	No	5
1	8142	UDS	GEN	No	8

- a. Net Acres have been rounded to the nearest whole acre in this table. Stand that comprise less than one acre of a harvest unit are not reported in this table, so the total "Net Acres" per unit in this table may not equal the total "Net Acres" per unit in table 1.

Table 5. Partial Cut & HCA Prescriptions (Complete only for Partial Cut and HCA Harvests)

Unit	Harvest Type	Harvest Species	Residual			
			Species	TPA	BA	% SDI
1	CC					

- **Green Tree Retention Area:** No clear green tree strategy was determined as the timber is roughly homogenous throughout the sale. Attempt to leave mid slope trees if the opportunity arises. Foresters will work with wildlife biologist during sale layout.

V. HARVESTING AND ACCESS CONSIDERATIONS:

Table 6. Harvest System and Access Summary

Unit	Harvest System		Slope (%)	Unit Access	Seasonal Access
	% Cable	% Ground			
1	80	20	>65	Established	All Weather

- Haul Route: 2500 Road to C-Line Road to Beaverdam Road to Highway 6
 - This sale is behind locked gates.
- Haul Route Condition: The haul route is a maintained gravel road. Road maintenance or improvement needs will be assessed during sale layout.
- Are easements required for the haul route? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 - A road use agreement is needed with Stimson; road use fees.

Table 7. Transportation Management Summary (Miles)

Activity	Mainline	Collector	Rocked Spur	Dirt Spur
Construct	0	0	0.6	0
Improve, Rock, and/or Maintain	0	17.1	2.44	0
Block (Dormant)	0	0	0	0
Vacate	0	0	0.9	0
Stream Crossings: install on existing road (IE)/replace on existing road (R)/install on new construction road (NC)				
Type F - SSBT ^a	0	0	0	0
Type F – Non-SSBT	0	0	0	0
Type N	0	0	0	0

a. Salmon Steelhead and Bull Trout (SSBT)

- Opportunities to vacate or block new or existing roads and/or spurs will be considered during sale layout.
- Rock Sources for this operation: Seven Cedars Quarry
 - Are property line surveys required for this operation? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 - Is there planned new road construction planned within RCAs/HCAs? ☐ Yes ☒ No

VI. AQUATIC RESOURCES:

- Do any streams require additional review for the following?
 - Fish presence ☒ No ☐ Yes
 - Perennial/Seasonal: ☐ No ☒ Yes
 - H.E.R./P.D.F.T: ☒ No ☐ Yes
- Is a portion of the operation within an Aquatic Anchor? ☒ No ☐ Yes, name:

3. Are any Points of Diversion (Domestic Water) located downstream within 3,000 feet of Operations?

☒ No ☐ Yes, describe protection measures:

4. Is there a Stream Enhancement Project planned? ☐ No ☒ Yes, please describe:

- There is potential for a stream enhancement Project in the N. Fk N. Fk Trask River (Unit 1). Additional desktop and field reviews shall be conducted to determine the best candidates to focus stream enhancement efforts on over this fiscal year. The district shall consult with the ODF Aquatic and Riparian Specialist and the local ODFW Habitat Biologist to help determine the feasibility and logistics of the project during sale layout.

VII. SLOPE STABILITY ISSUES:

Table 8. Summary of Slope Stability Assessment

Unit	Harvest Review Complete?	Public Safety Review Complete?	Additional Comment
1	N	Y	See Recommendations

- **Geotech Review:** Initial geotechnical reviews have been completed and those findings have been incorporated into No Harvest buffers. Some slope protections are dependent on stream surveys that have not yet been completed. Consultation with the Geotechnical Specialist is required during sale layout as streams surveys are completed in order to determine if additional protections are required.

VIII. RECREATION RESOURCES:

1. Recreation issues/coordination: ☒ No ☐ Yes, please describe:

IX. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL RESOURCES:


1. Has the sale been reviewed by a qualified archaeologist for potential historic or cultural resource presence?
☒ Yes ☐ No

X. SCENIC RESOURCES:

1. Are there scenic resources in the vicinity of this operation that need additional consideration?
☒ No ☐ Yes, please describe:

XI. OTHER RESOURCE CONSIDERATIONS:

1. Has a review of the FLMCS layer determined that any resources not mentioned in the report above need additional planning? ☒ No ☐ Yes, describe below
2. Are there any other resources present that need additional consideration? ☐ No ☒ Yes, please describe:
 - Adjacent Landowner: Private Industrial



Legend

- ● Sale Boundary
- No Harvest Area
- Surfaced Roads
- - - - Unsurfaced Roads
- Perennial Fish Streams
- Perennial Non-Fish Streams
- - - Seasonal Streams
- Ownership Boundary

**FY 2026 - Forest Grove District
Sidewinder
Portions of Sections 4 & 9, T1S, R6W, W.M.
Washington County**

Unit 1 102 Acres (CC)

State Forest Division
06/06/2025

This product is for informational use and may not be
suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes.
Variations may exist between and among data sets
in use by the Department of Forestry. This map was
developed using the Statewide Stream Layer.

