Pre-Operations Report

Operation Name: West Homestead Tax Code(s):

County (%): Marion (100%) **BOF%:** 0 **CSL%:** 100 **Elevation:** 1,522 – 2,256 ft **Sale Quarter:** ALT

Legal Description: T7S R2E, Section(s) 33, 34

I. VOLUME AND VALUE SUMMARY

Table 1. Types, Acres, and Value

Unit	Harvest Type	Anticipated Product ^c	Gross Acres	Net Acres	MBF/ Acrea	MBF/ Unit ^a	\$/MBFb	\$/Unit
1	CC	DF-M, BM-S	52	51	30.0	1,530	\$300	\$459,000
Total		Regeneration	52	51		1,530		
		Partial Cut	0	0				
							Value	\$459,000
a. Estimated harvest volume per acre for Unit.							t Costs	\$94,661
b. Estimated 'price' (excluding Project Costs)						Net '	Value	\$364,339

c. Anticipated Product (AA-B-C) – AA) SLI species code of the bid species, B) Size Class (S – small [average DBH < 15], M – medium [average DBH 15 to 23], L – large (average DBH > 23]), C) Special Product (P – Premium, H – Hardwood)

II. CURRENT STAND CONDITION:

Table 2. Stand Inventory Information

	Stand	Measured/							Net
Unit	ID	Imputed ^a	Species	Age	TPA	DBH	BA	SDI	Acresb
1	12009	M	DF,RA	51	140	16	196	50%	45
1	12236	M	DF,BM	171*	60	29	282	56%	6

a. Identify the source of stand inventory information. Use the following codes: M = Measured SLI data, I = Imputed SLI data, P = Pre-Cruise Plots, O = other (if other, describe below).

The target stand 12009 was planted but has no record of pre-commercial or commercial thinning. Stand 12236 has no prior management history and will be reserved as leave trees.

Table 3. Additional Stand Information

	Stand	Snags/	Down Wood/		Forest Health	
Unit	ID	Acrea	Acreb	SNC	Phellinus	Other ^c
1	12009	1	1381			
1	12236	7	1352			

a. Identify the number of hard snags per acre (decay classes 1 and 2)

b. Net Acres have been rounded to the nearest whole acre in this table. Stand that comprise less than one acre of a harvest unit are not reported in this table, so the total "Net Acres" per unit in this table may not equal the total "Net Acres" per unit in table 1.

^{*} Trees within this age class will be reserved as leave trees

b. Identify the cubic feet per acre of hard down wood (decay classes 1 and 2)

c. Describe "Other" forest health issue.

III. WILDLIFE AND T&E SPECIES CONSIDERATIONS:

Foresters need to request the Biological Survey Tracking Form (BSTF) from the ODF Wildlife Biologist prior to sale layout in order to ensure all T&E related information is complete and understood.

1.	A portion of the operation is within (Check all that apply):
	☐ TAS ☐ NSO Circle or Home Range, or Baseline or Elevated Baseline Thiessen (BA required)
	☐ MMMA (BA required)
2.	Are Surveys for NSO being conducted for any portion of this operation?
	□ No ☑ Density Surveys □ Operational Surveys □ Combination (Density/Operational)
	Notes:
3.	Are Surveys for MM being conducted for any portion of this operation?
	\square Yes (in progress/completed) \square No (Not habitat) \boxtimes N/A (outside of MM survey zone)
	Notes:
4.	Are there any additional considerations (FPA Resource Sites, Species of Concern sites/Plant [from ORBIC¹])?
	No □ Yes, please describe:

IV. DESIRED FUTURE CONDITION AND PRESCRIPTION:

Table 4. Stand Structure Information

Unit	Stand ID	Current	Desired Future ^b	Inside of HCA	Net Acres ^a
1	12009	UDS	GEN	No	45
1	12236	OFS	GEN	No	6

a. Net Acres have been rounded to the nearest whole acre in this table. Stand that comprise less than one acre of a harvest unit are not reported in this table, so the total "Net Acres" per unit in this table may not equal the total "Net Acres" per unit in table 1.

Table 5. Partial Cut & HCA Prescriptions (Complete only for Partial Cut and HCA Harvests)

		Harvest		Resi	dual	
Unit	Harvest Type	Species	Species	TPA	BA	% SDI
1	CC					

- Leave Tree Considerations: Foresters will work with wildlife biologist during sale layout. The following should be considered when determining final leave tree arrangements.
 - Stand Characteristics: The East sale boundary is the draft Habitat Conservation Area (HCA). Some older trees in stand 12236 are outside of the HCA and included within the sale area. Those oldest trees along the HCA boundary will be reserved as leave trees.
- **Reforestation Considerations:** Following the completion of harvest, the unit will be planted with a mixture of species native to the geographic area.

b. While desired future condition complex (Layered -LYR and Older Forest Structure – OFS) is mapped, targets for Regeneration, Closed Single Canopy and Understory stands are not. These stand types are typically referred to as General (GEN) when discussing desired future condition.

¹ Oregon Biodiversity Information Center North Cascade District Approved - July 2025

V. HARVESTING AND ACCESS CONSIDERATIONS:

Table 6. Harvest System and Access Summary

	Harvest	System		Unit	Seasonal
Unit	% Cable	% Ground	Slope (%)	Access	Access
1	100	0	>65	Simple	TBD

- 1. Haul Route: The haul route for this operation will be the Abiqua 133 Road and associated spurs off that collector road. The Abiqua 133 Road goes out to the Abiqua 100 road and eventually out Grade Road.
 - The sale is behind a locked gate
- 2. Haul Route Condition: The Grade Road and Abiqua 100 Road are in good condition currently. The collector and spur roads associated with accessing this Unit will need considerable improvement and reconstruction to facilitate log haul.
- 3. Are easements required for the haul route? \square No \boxtimes Yes

Easements are in place to go out the Abiqua 100 Road. ODF will coordinate with the adjacent landowner to ensure the entirety of the haul route is covered by this easement or if another one will need to be filed. The existing easement requires insurance and road maintenance. The easement on file is 311.2412 with Weyerhaeuser.

Table 7. Transportation Management Summary (Miles)

Activity	Mainline	Collector	Rocked Spur	Dirt Spur	
Construct	0	0	0.98	0	
Improve, Rock, and/or Maintain	0	0.41	0	0	
Vacate	0	0	0	0	
Stream Crossings: install on existing road (IE)/replace on existing road (R)/install on new construction road (NC)					
Type F - SSBTa	0	0	0	0	
Type F – Non-SSBT	0	0	0	0	
Type N	0	0	0	0	

- a. Salmon Steelhead and Bull Trout (SSBT)
- 4. Rock Sources for this operation: The primary rock source for this operation will be the Bridge Creek Pit.
- 5. Are property line surveys required for this operation? \square No \boxtimes Yes

The northern, western and southern lines of this Unit are shared with an adjacent landowner and will need to be reconned for survey needs prior to layout and harvest.


6. Is there planned new road construction planned within RCAs/HCAs? ☒ No ☐ Yes

VI	. AQUATIC	RESOURCES:			
1.	Do any stream	ns require addition	nal review for the fo	ollowing?	
	• Fish prese	ence: 🛛 No 🔝	□ Yes		
	• Perennial,	['] Seasonal: □ No	⊠ Yes		
	• H.E.R.:	□ No ⊠ Yes			
	where it is	believed streams	are located. These	additional review. Buffers s streams will be located, ve out and Geotech review ar	
2.	Is a portion of	the operation wit	thin an Aquatic An	nchor? ⊠ No □ Yes,	name:
3.				the Oregon Water Resourceam within 3,000 feet of th	ce Department's water rights e harvest operation?
	⊠ No □	Yes, describe pro	otection measures:		
4.		unregistered or ur vest operation?		nestic points of diversion to please describe:	hat have been identified
	5. Is there a Stream Enhancement Project planned? ☑ No ☐ Yes, please describe: VII. SLOPE STABILITY ISSUES: Table 8. Summary of Slope Stability Assessment				
VII	. SLOPE STA	ABILITY ISSU	ES:	⊠ No □ Yes, please o	describe:
VII	. SLOPE STA	ABILITY ISSUE Ty of Slope Stabil Harvest Review	ES: ity Assessment Public Safety Review		describe:
VII	. SLOPE STA	ABILITY ISSUE by of Slope Stabil Harvest	ES: ity Assessment Public Safety		describe:
VII	. SLOPE STA	ABILITY ISSUE Ty of Slope Stabil Harvest Review Complete Yes Review: Geotech ted into No Harve	ES: ity Assessment Public Safety Review Complete Yes nical reviews have	Additional Comment See Recommendations been completed and slope on the map. Additional completed and slope on the map.	
VII	. SLOPE STA ble 8. Summar Unit 1 Geotech incorpora Specialists	ABILITY ISSUE Ty of Slope Stabil Harvest Review Complete Yes Review: Geotech ted into No Harve	ES: ity Assessment Public Safety Review Complete Yes nical reviews have est buffers shown ong sale layout as no	Additional Comment See Recommendations been completed and slope on the map. Additional completed and slope on the map.	protections have been
VII	. SLOPE STA ble 8. Summar Unit 1 Geotech incorpora Specialists II.RECREAT	ABILITY ISSUE ty of Slope Stabil Harvest Review Complete Yes Review: Geotech ted into No Harve will be done duri	ES: ity Assessment Public Safety Review Complete Yes nical reviews have est buffers shown ong sale layout as not complete.	Additional Comment See Recommendations been completed and slope on the map. Additional completed and slope on the map.	protections have been
VIII.	. SLOPE STA ble 8. Summar Unit 1 Geotech incorpora Specialists II.RECREAT Recreation iss	ABILITY ISSUE ty of Slope Stabil Harvest Review Complete Yes Review: Geotech ted into No Harve will be done during TION RESOUR	ES: ity Assessment Public Safety Review Complete Yes nical reviews have est buffers shown ong sale layout as not complete.	Additional Comment See Recommendations been completed and slope on the map. Additional conceeded. Tes, please describe:	protections have been
VIII.	. SLOPE STA ble 8. Summar Unit 1 Geotech incorpora Specialists II.RECREAT Recreation iss HISTORIC	ABILITY ISSUE ty of Slope Stabil Harvest Review Complete Yes Review: Geotech ted into No Harve will be done duri TION RESOUR ues/coordination:	ES: ity Assessment Public Safety Review Complete Yes nical reviews have est buffers shown ong sale layout as not sale layout sale l	Additional Comment See Recommendations been completed and slope on the map. Additional conceeded. Tes, please describe:	protections have been insultation with Geotechnical
VII. Ta VII. 1. IX. 1.	• Geotech incorpora Specialists II.RECREAT Recreation iss HISTORIC Has the sale b	ABILITY ISSUE Ty of Slope Stabil Harvest Review Complete Yes Review: Geotech ted into No Harve twill be done duri TION RESOUF tues/coordination: AND CULTU tuen reviewed by a No Yes	ES: ity Assessment Public Safety Review Complete Yes nical reviews have est buffers shown ong sale layout as not sale layout sale l	Additional Comment See Recommendations been completed and slope on the map. Additional confeeded. Tes, please describe: CES:	protections have been insultation with Geotechnical

XI. OTHER RESOURCE CONSIDERATIONS:

1.	Has a review of the F	LMCS laye	er determined that any resources not mentioned in the report above need
	additional planning?	⊠ No	☐ Yes, please describe:

- 2. Are there any other resources present that need additional consideration? ☐ No ☒ Yes, please describe:
 - Adjacent Landowners (shared property lines):
 - Private-Industrial Landowner
 - District staff will work with adjacent private landowners to determine what additional outreach is needed during the sale process and if any additional restrictions are needed.



Legend


- Sale Boundary
- No Harvest Area
- **Recreation Trails**
- Surfaced
- === Unsurfaced
- Perennial Fish Streams
- Perennial Non-Fish Streams
- - Seasonal Streams
- Ownership Boundary

FY 2026 - North Cascade District West Homestead Portions of Section(s) 33, 34, T7S R2E, WM. Marion County

State Forest Division 01/03/2025

This product is for informational use and may not be suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. Variations may exist between and among data sets in use by the Department of Forestry. This map was developed using the Statewide Stream Layer.

1:12,000 1,000 500 0 1,000 Feet



Map 2 of 2