

Pre-Operations Report

Operation Name: Beyer Point
County (%): Tillamook (100%)
Elevation: 1,764 - 3,084 ft
Legal Description: T2N R8W, Section(s) 22

Tax Code(s): 5600
BOF%: 100 **CSL%:** 0
Sale Quarter: 1

I. VOLUME AND VALUE SUMMARY

Table 1. Types, Acres, and Value

Unit	Harvest Type	Anticipated Product ^c	Gross Acres	Net Acres	MBF/Acre ^a	MBF/Unit ^a	\$/MBF ^b	\$/Unit
776	CC	WH-S, DF-S	120	118	28.0	3,304	\$325	\$1,073,800
Total		Regeneration	120	118		3,304		
		Partial Cut						
							Gross Value	\$1,073,800
a. Estimated harvest volume per acre for Unit.							Project Costs	\$257,985
b. Estimated 'price' (excluding Project Costs)							Net Value	\$815,815
c. Anticipated Product (AA-B-C) – AA) SLI species code of the bid species, B) Size Class (S – small [average DBH < 15], M – medium [average DBH 15 to 23], L – large (average DBH > 23]), C) Special Product (P – Premium, H – Hardwood)								

II. CURRENT STAND CONDITION:

Table 2. Stand Inventory Information

Unit	Stand ID	Measured/Imputed ^a	Species	Age	TPA	DBH	BA	SDI	Net Acres ^b
776	30720	I = 34110	DF,WH	59	242	14	239	65%	28
776	30723	M	WH,DF	59	398	11	262	77%	67
776	32087	I = 31321	DF,RA	68	224	13	216	59%	2
776	32177	I = 30933	WH,NF	59	156	15	185	48%	17
776	32822	I = 30758	DF,WH	57	401	10	203	63%	2

- a. Identify the source of stand inventory information. Use the following codes: M = Measured SLI data, I = Imputed SLI data, P = Pre-Cruise Plots, O = other (if other, describe below).
- b. Net Acres have been rounded to the nearest whole acre in this table. Stand that comprise less than one acre of a harvest unit are not reported in this table, so the total "Net Acres" per unit in this table may not equal the total "Net Acres" per unit in table 1.

- These stands were planted, and a portion of Unit 776 was commercially thinned in 2004.

Table 3. Additional Stand Information

Unit	Stand ID	Snags/Acre ^a	Down Wood/Acre ^b	Forest Health		
				SNC	Phellinus	Other ^c
776	30720	9	148	Yes	--	--
776	30723	13	190	Yes	--	--
776	32087	28	196	Yes	--	--
776	32177	7	71	Yes	--	--
776	32822	25	60	Yes	--	--

- a. Identify the number of hard snags per acre (decay classes 1 and 2)

WILDLIFE AND T&E SPECIES CONSIDERATIONS:

Foresters need to request the Biological Survey Tracking Form (BSTF) from the ODF Wildlife Biologist prior to sale layout in order to ensure all T&E related information is complete and understood.

1. A portion of the operation is within (Check all that apply):
 - TAS NSO Circle or Home Range, or Baseline or Elevated Baseline Thiessen (BA required)
 - MMMA (BA required) None
2. Are Surveys for NSO being conducted for any portion of this operation?
 - No Density Surveys Operational Surveys Combination (Density/Operational)

Notes:

3. Are Surveys for MM being conducted for any portion of this operation?
 - Yes (in progress/completed) No (Not habitat) N/A (outside of MM survey zone)

Notes: Surveys conducted in 2022 and 2023, expire 4/1/2029

4. Are there any additional considerations (FPA Resource Sites, Species of Concern sites/Plant)?
 - No Yes, please describe: Coast Range fawn-lily (*Erythronium elegans*) found within the sale boundary. ODF has consulted the Oregon Department of Agriculture and staff from both agencies worked together to conduct an initial field assessment and develop a plan to help mitigate effects on known concentrations of the species, including a no harvest area. This work is ongoing and will continue through sale layout and closeout and will include post-harvest assessment.

III. DESIRED FUTURE CONDITION AND PRESCRIPTION:

Table 4. Stand Structure Information

Unit	Stand ID	Current	Desired Future ^b	Net Acres ^a	Inside of HCA Acres
776	30720	UDS	GEN	28	0
776	30723	UDS	GEN	67	0
776	32087	UDS	GEN	2	0
776	32177	UDS	GEN	17	0
776	32822	UDS	GEN	2	0

- a. Net Acres have been rounded to the nearest whole acre in this table. Stand that comprise less than one acre of a harvest unit are not reported in this table, so the total “Net Acres” per unit in this table may not equal the total “Net Acres” per unit in table 1.
- b. While desired future condition complex (Layered -LYR and Older Forest Structure – OFS) is mapped, targets for Regeneration, Closed Single Canopy and Understory stands are not. These stand types are typically referred to as General (GEN) when discussing desired future condition.

Table 5. Harvest Prescriptions

Unit	Harvest Type	Harvest Species	Residual (Partial Cut & HCA Only)			
			Species	TPA	BA	% SDI
776	CC	DF, RA	--	--	--	--

- **Leave Tree Considerations:** Foresters will work with wildlife biologist during sale layout. The following should be considered when determining final leave tree arrangements.
 - **Stand Characteristics:** Prioritize minor species and larger diameter trees as part of the retention strategy. Portions of the far eastern part of the sale might be an adequate location for some green trees since there appear to be platform bearing trees there. Foresters will work with wildlife biologist during sale layout.

Table 6. Reforestation

Unit	Planting Species Mix (%)*	TPA*	Considerations (ex. Elevation, SNC, Aspect, Animal Damage)
776	75 DF, 20% WH, 5% NF	400	North Slope will be favorable to stand establishment.

*These are estimates for planning purposes and will be adjusted as needed based on site conditions during implementation.

- Reforestation Considerations:
 - There is a considerable risk this unit will have shallow & rocky soils that will allow only plug stock seedlings being planted, however stand establishment at the neighboring Beyer Sell unit went very smoothly, so, this unit should also be favorable to a good outcome.
 - 400 TPA planting target is a conservative estimate, with quality planting and spring release, this unit could probably be successful when planted at 360 TPA.
 - The terrain on this unit of a “bowl” with a draw in the middle of the unit. This terrain shape usually makes it more challenging to operate a helicopter safely in during aerial site prep. Any tall leave trees left out in the unit (not adjacent to timber edge or stream buffer) will add to the safety risk of pilot and ship during site prep operations.

IV. HARVESTING AND ACCESS CONSIDERATIONS:

Table 7. Harvest System and Access Summary

Unit	Harvest System		Slope (%)	Unit Access	Seasonal Access
	% Cable	% Ground			
776	100	0	35-65	Verified	Combo

1. Haul Route: South Fork Cook Creek, Diamond Mill, North Fork Road.
2. Haul Route Condition: South Fork Cook Creek has worn down to the large pit-run base rock and needs summer haul only unless more rock is added. Upper Diamond Mill needs a lift of crushed rock. Upper Diamond Mill will also see four more sales coming down it through the next decade whereas South Fork Cook Creek will not. The upper part of South Fork Cook Creek also needs brushing and ditch cleaning. North Fork Road and lower Diamond Mill Road are in excellent condition having just had maintenance from King of Diamonds Timber Sale and will be maintained by that sale. North Fork Road will also be actively maintained by the South Side and Standard Grade South timber sales.
3. Are easements required for the haul route? No Yes

Table 8. Transportation Management Summary (Miles)

Activity	Mainline	Collector	Rocked Spur	Dirt Spur
Construct	0	0	0.41	0.08
Improve, Rock, and/or Maintain	0	9.08	1.68	0
Vacate	0	0	0	0
Stream Crossings to install existing (IE)/replace (R)/ new construction (NC)				
Type F - SSBT ^a	0	0	0	0
Type F – Non-SSBT	0	0	0	0
Type N	0	9(R)	0	1(NC)

a. Salmon Steelhead and Bull Trout (SSBT)

4. Rock Sources for this operation: Onsite rock encountered during construction, T Point Pit, Cook Creek Stockpiles, Firebreak 8 Pit
5. Are property line surveys required for this operation? No Yes
6. Is there planned new road construction planned within RCAs/HCAs? No Yes
 - New road construction within RCA and HCAs will only occur when other alternatives are not operationally or economically feasible. Planned new road construction will be evaluated as it is further refined during sale layout.

V. AQUATIC RESOURCES:

1. Do any streams require additional review for the following?
 - Fish presence: No Yes
 - Perennial/Seasonal: No Yes
 - H.E.R.: No Yes
 - There are streams within the sale that require additional review. Buffers shown on the map indicate where it is believed streams are located. These streams will be located, verified for permanence, and/or type of seasonal stream during sale layout and Geotech review and buffered as required.
2. Is a portion of the operation within an Aquatic Anchor? No Yes, Name: Cook Creek/Lower Nehalem River
 - Within the designated Aquatic Anchor streams will be buffered in accordance with strategies in the draft Habitat Conservation Plan that prioritize salmonid recovery as outlined in the State Forest Division Species of Concern Policy. These additional buffers are already incorporated into the sale buffers and are shown on the map. If additional information on streams is found during sale layout the buffers will be adjusted as required.
3. Are any domestic points of diversion identified in the Oregon Water Resource Department’s water rights information search GIS database located downstream within 3,000 feet of the harvest operation?
 - No Yes, describe protection measures:
4. Are there any unregistered or unknown status domestic points of diversion that have been identified within the harvest operation? No Yes, please describe:

5. Is there a Stream Enhancement Project planned? No Yes, please describe:

VI. SLOPE STABILITY ISSUES:

Table 9. Summary of Slope Stability Assessment

Unit	Harvest Review Complete	Public Safety Review Complete
776	Yes	Yes

- **Geotech Review:** Initial geotechnical reviews have been completed and those findings have been incorporated into No Harvest buffers. Additional consultation with Geotechnical Specialists will be done during sale layout as needed.

VII. RECREATION RESOURCES:

1. Recreation issues/coordination: No Yes, please describe:
 - Approximately 2800ft of the Alpina Trail exists along the SW sale boundary. Road construction will significantly impact trail. The Marketing Unit and Roads Unit will work closely with the Recreation Program during sale layout, contract writing, and sale administration to minimize the impact to the recreational trail and mitigate public use safety concerns.
 - The recreation trail will be temporarily closed when safety hazards are present during timber sale activity and re-opened once sale activity is completed or when safe to do so. The trail segment impacted by road construction will be evaluated for re-route construction post timber harvest.

VIII. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL RESOURCES:


1. Has the sale been reviewed by a qualified archaeologist for potential historic or cultural resource presence? No Yes

IX. SCENIC RESOURCES:

1. Are there scenic resources in the vicinity of this operation that need additional consideration? No Yes, please describe:

X. OTHER RESOURCE CONSIDERATIONS:

1. Has a review of the FLMCS layer determined that any resources not mentioned in the report above need additional planning? No Yes, please describe:
2. Are there any other resources present that need additional consideration? No Yes, please describe:



**FY 2027 - Tillamook District
Beyer Point
Portions of Section 22, T2N, R8W, , W.M.
Tillamook County**

- Legend**
- Sale Boundary
 - Ownership Boundary
 - Perennial Fish
 - Perennial Non-Fish
 - No Harvest Area
 - No Harvest - Existing Road
 - Paved
 - Surfaced
 - Unsurfaced
 - - - New Road Construction
 - //// Recreation Trails

State Forest Division
12/10/2025

This product is for informational use and may not have been prepared for or be suitable for legal, engineering or survey purposes. Variations may exist between and among data sets in use by the Department of Forestry. This map was developed using the Statewide Flow Line layer layer.

Unit 776 118 Acres (CC)

Total 118 Acres

1:12,000

