

From: Catherine Mater [<mailto:mater@mater.com>]
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2018 11:38 AM
To: Forest Carbonstudy * ODF <forest.carbonstudy@oregon.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Re: Carbon Stakeholder Meeting

Hi Chad - just got back into Oregon and realized I sent my Dec 5 comments to wrong e-mail address. Here it is again.

Thank you

Catherine

Date: Wed, 05 Dec 2018 12:04:12 -0800

To: DAVIS Chad * ODF <Chad.DAVIS@oregon.gov>, DAVIS Chad * ODF <Chad.DAVIS@oregon.gov>, Kaola Swanson <kswanson@pacificforest.org>, Kelley Beamer <kelley@oregonlandtrusts.org>, Paige Spence <paige@olcv.org>, "cmacdonald@tnc.org" <cmacdonald@tnc.org>, KRUSE Dylan <dkruse@sustainablenorthwest.org>, Dominick DellaSala <dominick@geosinstitute.org>, Taylor Lucey <taylor@ofic.com>, JAMES Jim <jimjamesoswa@yahoo.com>, "meghan.tuttle@weyerhaeuser.com" <meghan.tuttle@weyerhaeuser.com>, "mbarnes@viclink.com" <mbarnes@viclink.com>, "tvredenburg@cowcreek.com" <tvredenburg@cowcreek.com>, "bkittler@pinchot.org" <bkittler@pinchot.org>, "aduncan@b-e-f.org" <aduncan@b-e-f.org>, "DAUGHERTY Peter" <peter.daugherty@state.or.us>, YOST Andrew * ODF <Andrew.Yost@oregon.gov>, LANHAM Whitney * ODF <Whitney.Lanham@oregon.gov>, SHEERAN Kristen * GOV <Kristen.SHEERAN@oregon.gov>, PALACIOS Austin M * GOV <Austin.M.PALACIOS@oregon.gov>
From: Catherine Mater <mater@mater.com>
Subject: Re: Carbon Stakeholder Meeting
Bcc: mater <mater@mater.com>

Thank you Chad. Any chance we can have the graphs for the next meeting sent to us before Christmas? Would be helpful to review over the holidays. Also - just to confirm (if my understanding is correct) we will have separate graphs for each defined eco-region by landownership type perhaps similar to pgs 13-19 of the attached prelim report the OGWC Forest Carbon Task Force produced (see attached). We did not have age class or site index data to include in our prelim report development, but I understand that will also be provided to us now matched to eco-region and landowner type. Is this correct?

The preliminary data presented at our last meeting showing ~ 30 mmt CO₂e/yr net store NOT INCLUDING consideration of continued carbon stored in product (which was very similar to the OGWC Task Force prelim results the Task Force submitted back in September 2017; see attached). I wonder if it makes sense for the stakeholder group to begin discussions on what options might be considered for management strategy IF all regions and all landowner types are producing net carbon stores each year, even without consideration of carbon stored in product (as the picture only gets better)? This is what we discovered in the prelim Task Force work and does

shape IMO a different mind set toward consideration of management options. It doesn't preclude the importance of doing the rest of the work with regard to continued carbon stores in product, but it does accelerate discussion for at least one scenario around a positive scenario that will I suspect prove important to the DOF work at hand (see https://www.oregonlive.com/politics/index.ssf/2018/07/forests_are_a_major_player_in.html).

Looking forward to our next meeting in January. Thank you for all your hard work, Chad.

Catherine

At 05:42 PM 12/4/2018, DAVIS Chad * ODF wrote:

Proposed Agenda Topics

Update of Forest Ecosystems Carbon Analysis and Review of Draft Tables

- Review of the set of tables that have been produced

Harvested Wood Products Analysis

- Discuss progress made on the California and Oregon HWP analysis

Stakeholder Feedback and Discussion

Chad Davis
Oregon Department of Forestry
e: chad.davis@oregon.gov
p: 503-602-2130