

ODF SB 762 RAC No. 2 Meeting 2 Evaluation Summary

Circle the Appropriate Response: **1** **2** **3** **4** **5**

Very Satisfied

Very Dissatisfied

1. Overall Meeting	1 (2)	2 (1)	3 (2)	4	5
2. Presentations	1 (3)	2	3 (1)	4 (1)	5
3. Materials	1 (3)	2	3 (1)	4 (1)	5
4. Discussions	1	2 (3)	3 (2)	4	5
4. Facilitator	1 (2)	2 (1)	3 (1)	4 (1)	5
5. Pace	<i>Too Slow</i>		<i>Just Right</i>	<i>Too Fast</i>	
	1 (1)	2 (2)	3 (2)	4	5

6. What were the most useful parts of the meeting?

- Background and context from ODF and OSU has been robust and helpful
- Chris Dunn's presentation was excellent, even though it was a bit like drinking from a fire hose. I may have to go back through it to make sure I actually understand all the distinct concepts.
- Keeping on track with the agenda * Skipping the procedural discussion that we had already done in RAC 1
- When agencies engaged in the discussion as the subject matter experts
- Informative presentations; good pace to meeting

7. What would you have changed about the meeting?

- One element that could be improved would be a more formal process for incorporating edits. It sometimes felt as if the ODF staff were taking down notes on suggested edits, even though they were actually making substantial edits to the text. With such a casual approach to revising the draft some sections changed substantially, requiring further edits to bring back what I interpret as the original intent of SB 762.
- This was a good start - no suggested changes yet.
- Nothing
- Consider having subject matter experts facilitate the meeting verses a third party mediator style facilitator.

- Need representation from impacted populations, including those who do not own land, as well as public health advocates - and not just one or two persons.

8. Do you have any specific suggestions for improving the RAC meetings?

- Greater focus on specific outcomes, enabled by stronger facilitation that limits side conversations into speculative and unrelated elements of the process that have yet to be engaged.

9. Do you have any additional comments that you would like the facilitation team to consider as they prepare for the next RAC meeting?

- You are doing great, it is a hard job.
- On occasion either the facilitator or ODF (not sure which) forgets to stop screen sharing after we have moved on to another topic of conversation. For example, while new people were introducing themselves, the word cloud remained in screen share. While technically, it's possible to minimize the screen sharing & maximize the gallery view, I'd encourage the facilitator to put a little more emphasis on RAC members being able to see each other. This is somewhat more important than usual given that we are unable to meet in person.
- No

10. Please provide your name and Organization

- Kerry Metlen, The Nature Conservancy
- Pam Hardy - Western Environmental Law Center
- Michele Bradley, Special Districts Association
- Ryan Kragero - OFMA - Clackamas Fire Dist #1
- Mary Kyle McCurdy, 1000 Friends of Oregon